




Research Article

Assessment of the threat status of reptile species from Vietnam - Implementation of the One Plan Approach to Conservation

Lilli Stenger¹, Anke Große Hovest¹, Truong Quang Nguyen^{2,3}, Cuong The Pham^{2,3}, Anna Rauhaus⁴, Minh Duc Le^{5,6,7}, Dennis Rödder⁸, Thomas Ziegler^{4,9}

¹ University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

² Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

³ Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁴ Cologne Zoo, Cologne, Germany

⁵ Faculty of Environmental Sciences, University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁶ Central Institute for Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁷ Department of Herpetology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA

⁸ LIB, Museum Koenig Bonn, Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Bonn, Germany

⁹ Institute of Zoology, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Corresponding author: Thomas Ziegler (ziegler@koelnerzoo.de)



Academic editor: Md Mizanur Rahman

Received: 24 May 2023

Accepted: 5 August 2023

Published: 5 September 2023

ZooBank: <https://zoobank.org/F7D034BA-196F-45FF-B7F3-092A1EC16DC3>

Citation: Stenger L, Große Hovest A, Nguyen TQ, Pham CT, Rauhaus A, Le MD, Rödder D, Ziegler T (2023) Assessment of the threat status of reptile species from Vietnam - Implementation of the One Plan Approach to Conservation. Nature Conservation 53: 183–221. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.53.106923>

Copyright: © Lilli Stenger et al.

This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Abstract

Since the world is currently in the midst of a major biodiversity crisis, scientists have assigned high conservation priority to 36 biodiversity hotspots around the world. As part of one of the leading hotspots in terms of species richness and local endemism, Vietnam is considered a country with high conservation priority. The reptile fauna of Vietnam is known for its high level of diversity and an outstanding number of endemic species. Vietnamese reptiles are highly threatened due to habitat loss and overharvesting for domestic and international trade, traditional medicine and food, making them a group of great conservation concern. As a baseline for improved reptile conservation in Vietnam, we conducted a conservation assessment of Vietnamese reptile species by evaluating data from a variety of sources. Our study results show that approximately 32.9% (n = 159) of the total reptile species (n = 484) present in Vietnam are endemic to the country, of which more than half are only known from their type locality and about one-third restricted to a particular subregion, making the species particularly vulnerable to threats. Furthermore, 33.5% (n = 53) of 158 endemic taxa included in the protected area analysis have not yet been recorded from any protected area. Among all 418 Vietnamese reptile species listed on the IUCN Red List, 17.7% (n = 74) are threatened with extinction, 46.0% (n = 34) of the total 74 threatened species are endemic to Vietnam. The fact that 135 species are either listed as DD or have not yet been evaluated by the IUCN highlights the urgency of further research. Moreover, only very few species are protected by national or international legislation, and further assessments are needed to protect reptiles of particular concern. A Zoological Information Management System (ZIMS) analysis revealed that 22.5% (n = 109) of all reptiles occurring in Vietnam and only 6.3% (n = 10) of the endemic Vietnamese reptiles are currently kept in zoos worldwide. Although 60.8% (n = 45) of the threatened reptiles (n = 74) from Vietnam are currently held in zoos, only 23.5 (n = 8) of the endemic threatened species (n = 34) are held there. Following the IUCN CPSG's One Plan Approach to Conservation, it is therefore recommended to increase the number of threatened and endemic species in breeding stations and zoos to maintain assurance populations, suitable for restocking measures.

Despite ongoing efforts in Vietnam, further conservation measures are required. We therefore also identify areas of highest reptile diversity and with the largest number of threatened species and provide a list of 50 most threatened species (10% of total species) as a guide for further research and conservation action in Vietnam.

Key words: Conservation breeding, diversity, endemic species, protected area coverage, reptile conservation, threat analysis, Vietnam

Introduction

The world is currently in the midst of a major biodiversity crisis, associated with significant biodiversity loss and extinction rates far outpacing normal background extinction rates. While some predict a sixth mass extinction, others fear that we may be right in the midst of it already (Barnosky et al. 2011; Pievani et al. 2014; Ceballos et al. 2015; McCallum 2015; Ceballos et al. 2017). Our planet's biodiversity is changing immensely, and at a pace that would not have occurred without humankind's influence (Pimm et al. 1995; Cowie et al. 2022; Rull 2022). At this rate, up to one million plant and animal species could disappear (IPEBS 2019; Tollefson 2019), and extinction rates are expected to be even higher due to numerous species still remaining unidentified (Lees and Pimm 2015; Melville et al. 2021).

As the number of threatened species in need of conservation efforts is greater than the available resources, it is important to prioritize most threatened taxa and areas with the greatest number of endemic and threatened species. To this end, regions with high levels of biodiversity and facing critical anthropogenic threats have been identified (Myers et al. 2000). To date, 36 global biodiversity hotspots characterized by significant habitat loss have been assigned a high priority for conservation (Myers et al. 2000). Many of them may face significant future threats due to increased anthropogenic pressure and climate change effects (Cremene et al. 2005; Malcolm et al. 2006; Habel et al. 2019).

One of the leading hotspots in terms of endemism is the Indo-Burma region, which is composed of southern China and the mainland of Southeast Asia, including Vietnam (Myers et al. 2000). Due to its high level of endemism combined with the accelerating rate of habitat loss and overexploitation, Vietnam is considered a country with top conservation priority (Myers et al. 2000; Sterling et al. 2006). The country possesses a broad variety of rare and endemic species and its herpetofauna has been recognized as one of the most diverse in the world (Stolton et al. 2004; Adler 2009). A vast number of reptile and amphibian species have been discovered from Vietnam over the past decades, with many microendemic taxa including those known only from type localities so far (e.g., Bain and Hurley 2011; Ngo et al. 2022). With decreasing range, the risk of extinction generally increases (Chichorro et al. 2019). Therefore, species occurring exclusively in small areas or which are restricted to their type locality are particularly threatened and thus require more protection (Meiri et al. 2017).

To protect both biodiversity and natural habitats, the government of Vietnam established the first protected area, Cuc Phuong National Park, in 1962 (Sterling et al. 2006). Since then, the number of national parks (NP) has grown to 34, accounting for approximately 3% of the total land area, with the last one being

established in 2020, i.e., Song Thanh NP. Additionally, the country maintains 88 nature reserves, 22 marine protected areas, 1 wetland protected area and 8 Ramsar sites (Wetlands of International Importance) (Stolton et al. 2004; Le et al. 2018; VEA 2020; Protected Planet 2022).

However, many species still suffer from habitat loss and degradation, overexploitation, invasive species, disease, climate change, and pollution, and are highly vulnerable to extinction (Sterling et al. 2006; Drury 2011; Blair et al. 2017; Blair et al. 2022). To reverse the trend, *in situ* conservation reinforced by *ex situ* measures has been proposed in the One Plan Approach to Conservation (OPA) developed by the Conservation Planning Specialist Group (CPSG) of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Species Survival Commission (SSC). In order to reduce the gap between the management of wild and *ex situ* populations, re-introduction of certain species into their natural habitat can take place when the protection level improves to prevent targeted species from extinction (Conde et al. 2013; Seddon et al. 2014a, b). In this regard, modern zoos can play a crucial role by not only conducting or financially supporting *in situ* conservation projects, but also by protecting species from extinction through the build-up of conservation breeding programs for subsequent release (Gilbert et al. 2017; Gusset 2019; Wahle et al. 2021; Krzikowski et al. 2022). This approach aims to bring together all responsible parties and considers the *in situ* and *ex situ* populations as a single unit with a view to developing a conservation plan for the entire population (Gusset 2019; Traylor-Holzer et al. 2019; Wahle et al. 2021). As modern ark, the “conservation zoo” provides space, expertise, time and funds for threatened species.

Globally, reptiles have been considered a group of special conservation concern (Böhm et al. 2013; Stanford et al. 2020; Cox et al. 2022). They play an important role in almost all ecosystems and often have relatively small distribution ranges, making them vulnerable to anthropogenic threats. While about 11% of the 11,460 reptile species described so far (Uetz et al. 2022) have still not been assessed by the IUCN, an additional 14.7% of the 10148 species assessed are listed as Data Deficient. Approximately 21% of the reptile species assessed up to now are considered to be threatened with extinction (Cox et al. 2022). In particular, agricultural expansion poses the greatest threat to reptiles. The resulting habitat loss is, among other places, particularly evident in the mainland of Southeast Asia (Böhm et al. 2013).

As Vietnam’s herpetofauna is among the richest in the world (Adler et al. 2009; Nguyen et al. 2009) and especially threatened due to habitat loss and being overharvested for traditional medicine, trade, and food (Van Schingen et al. 2015; Janssen and Indenbaum 2019; Pham et al. 2019a, b; Le et al. 2020), it is critical to assess the conservation status of Vietnamese species. Following the recently published study on amphibians in Vietnam (Krzikowski et al. 2022) we herein provide a detailed assessment of Vietnam’s reptile fauna in light of the One Plan Approach. In order to establish a baseline for improved reptile conservation in Vietnam, an up-to-date list of all reptile species extant in Vietnam was compiled and they were then evaluated individually for their IUCN Red List status, listing in national legislations and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), distribution range, potential occurrence in protected areas, and whether an *ex situ* component in zoos or other institutions already exists. Finally, we provide a list of those species that are particularly important to be considered for further OPA measures in the future.

Methods

Species list

The list of extant reptile species in Vietnam was based on Nguyen et al. (2009). The species list also comprised sea snakes and sea turtles (except for the protected area coverage analysis, see below). We then cross-checked each account with the reptile database (<https://reptile-database.reptarium.cz/>, Uetz et al. 2022) and new publications were included using the search engine Google Scholar (<https://scholar.google.com>) to document taxonomic changes and species records in Vietnam after 2009 (see Suppl. material 1: tables S1–S5).

We generally followed the taxonomy of Nguyen et al. (2009). However, while Homalopsinae, Pareatinae, Psammophiinae, and Xenodermatinae were classified as subfamilies of Colubridae (Serpentes) in Nguyen et al. (2009), this study followed Pyron et al. (2011) in placing Psammophiinae in the family of Lamprophiidae and elevating the other subfamilies to the family level. In addition, the genus *Psammodynastes*, including the species *P. pulverulentus*, was transferred from the subfamily Natricinae (Colubridae: Serpentes) to the family Lamprophiidae (Pyron et al. 2011).

Conservation status

The extinction risk assessment of the reptile species extant in Vietnam was undertaken using the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species on the 5th of January 2022 (IUCN 2022) using automatized searches via the rredlist package for R 4.2.2 (Chamberlain 2022). We considered species either Not-threatened, which compromise Least Concern (LC) and Near Threatened (NT), threatened with extinction, which compromise Vulnerable (VU), Endangered (EN) and Critically Endangered (CR), or unclassifiable, which compromise Data Deficient (DD) and Not Evaluated (NE). No species on the list were listed as Extinct (EX) or Extinct in the Wild (EW). We further analysed the recorded species with respect to inclusion in the three appendices (I–III) of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) (CITES 2021a, b).

National regulations were examined by evaluating the appendices of national decrees and the listing in the Vietnam Red Data Book. In Vietnam, species are primarily protected by two national decrees. While the first one, Decree No. 64/2019, lists species with highest conservation priority, the second, Decree 84/2021, largely follows CITES in listing species that are threatened by trade and/or overexploitation. Threatened species are also listed in the Vietnam Red Data Book which uses the IUCN Red List Categories. If a species is endemic to Vietnam, its status in the Vietnam Red Data Book may differ from the global IUCN Red List status. The latest version of the Vietnam Red Data Book has been published in 2007 (Tran et al. 2007) and is thus outdated, but an updated version is already under preparation (Krzikowski et al. 2022).

Vietnam and its biogeographic subregions

The study follows Bain and Hurley (2011) in dividing Vietnam into 13 different geographic subregions, namely Northwest Uplands (NWU), Northeast Uplands (NEU), Northern Annamites (NAN), Northeast Lowlands (NEL), Northern Coast

(NC), Northern Islands (NIS), Central Annamites (CAN), Central-South Vietnam Lowlands (CSL), Central Coast (CC), Southern Annamites (SAN), Mekong Delta (MEK), Southern Coast (SC) and Southern Islands (SIS) (see Fig. 1). Originally, Bain and Hurley (2011) referred their study area as Indochina, which was defined as Laos, Cambodia, and Vietnam, and split it into 19 subregions based on topographic and geographic criteria, including locations on the coast, island groups, mountain ranges and associated lowland regions and major river systems and their deltas. However, six of these subregions do not occur in Vietnam and are therefore irrelevant for this study. The 13 subregions occurring in Vietnam are assigned to different regions: Uplands, Lowlands, Coasts and Islands, all characterized by distinct climatic conditions and vegetation characteristics. Uplands are defined as locations above 450 meters, and locations below 450 meters are considered lowlands (Bain and Hurley 2011).




Figure 1. Map of Vietnam with its 13 subregions; adopted and modified from Bain and Hurley (2011).

Distribution

Information on the current distribution of each species extant in Vietnam was obtained based on the most recent species list by Nguyen et al. (2009), entries in the Reptile Database, distribution data from the IUCN Red List and the following publications: Bain and Hurley (2011), Wang et al. (2018, 2021), Nguyen LT et al. (2016, 2018a, b, 2020), Thao (2020), Nguyen, TQ et al. (2010b, 2017, 2018a, b), Hoang et al. (2018), Luu et al. (2015a, b, 2020a,c), Ngo et al. (2016, 2018, 2019a, b, 2021, 2022), Orlov et al. (2008, 2021), Grismer et al. (2015, 2019a, b, 2020, 2021a, b), Tung et al. (2018), Ostrowski et al. (2021), Murdoch et al. (2019), Pham et al. (2015, 2019a, b, 2020), Neang et al. (2020), Meiri et al. (2018), Ziegler et al. (2010, 2014, 2015a, b, c, d, 2019b, 2020a, b, c, d), Hecht et al. (2013), Le DT et al. (2018, 2020), Le DO et al. (2021), Richmond et al. (2021), Linh et al. (2019), Poyarkov Jr et al. (2019a, b, c), Siler et al. (2018), Wang et al. (2013), Van et al. (2014), Mallik et al. (2020), Le et al. (2021), Van Nguyen et al. (2019), Holden et al. (2021), Geissler et al. (2011a) Ren et al. (2018), Do et al. (2017), Nguyen SN et al. (2016, 2017), Amarasinghe et al. (2015), Rasmussen et al. (2011, 2012), Kurniawan et al. (2021), Ding et al. (2020), Li et al. (2021), Miller et al. (2020), Farkas et al. (2019), TTWG (2021), Chen et al. (2021), David et al. (2008), Sy (2019), Tan et al. (2019).

Species endemic to Vietnam and the Indochinese Region were identified and their level of endemism was further analysed, viz. whether these species are endemic to a specific subregion, region or on a macroregional or local level. The three macroregions were identified as North, Central or South, each representing up to four regions including uplands (elevations above 450m), lowlands (elevations below 450m), coasts or islands. For this purpose, the 13 subregions according to Bain and Hurley (2011) ($n = 13$: Northwest Uplands (NWU), Northeast Uplands (NEU), Northern Annamites (NAN), Northeast Lowlands (NEL), Northern Coast (NC), Northern Islands (NIS), Central Annamites (CAN), Central-South Lowlands (CSL), Central Coast (CC), Southern Annamites (SAN), Mekong Delta (MEK), Southern Coast (SC), Southern Islands (SIS)) were used as distribution measures.

For each species, we extracted detailed information on preferred habitats from the IUCN Red List using the `redlist` package for R (Chamberlain 2022). Gridded information on habitat availability with a spatial resolution of 100 m was obtained from Jung et al. (2020) and intersected with the range information. Subsequently, we intersected the presence-absence maps of all terrestrial and limnic species ($n = 454$, excluding the 30 marine species, see Suppl. material 1: table S16) with the protected area network and extracted the potential of each species to occur in a given reserve. Furthermore, we created species richness maps by stacking the single presence-absence maps. Areas of high local endemism were identified using the corrected weighted endemism approach of Crisp et al. (2001).

Vietnamese reptiles in global ex situ facilities

For this analysis, the Zoological Information Management System (ZIMS) was used to identify which reptile species extant in Vietnam are currently kept in zoos worldwide and which species are currently managed in studbooks or other coordinated breeding programs. In addition, data on the number of held individuals and the number of keeping institutions was recorded for each species (ZIMS 2022). By using this approach, we could examine which of the species

extant in Vietnam were already represented in *ex situ* facilities and how many of the species were considered threatened or were endemic to Vietnam. Based on the results, the proportion of threatened and endemic species extant in Vietnam not yet kept in zoos or other institutions globally was calculated. Since participation in ZIMS is voluntary and some data may not be up to date, some held populations may have been omitted. In order to increase coverage, the data obtained from ZIMS were compared with those available on the website “Zootierliste” (ZTL, List of Zoo Animals – Zootierliste 2022). Nevertheless, the database includes only facilities from Europe. ZTL also does not have other detailed information such as breeding success and thus only was checked for additional species holdings, viz. those not available in ZIMS.

Diversity analysis

In order to identify major geographic patterns in the distribution of zoos keeping Vietnamese taxa, we computed for each facility the Shannon index (Weaver and Shannon 1949) taking both the number of different taxa and number of individuals per species into account. The georeferenced localities of each facility were subsequently mapped and coded according to the number of individuals and the respective indices.

Top 50 list

As a guideline for further conservation action regarding reptiles in Vietnam, we compiled a Top 50 list of species likely to benefit most from conservation efforts based on the data of this study. For this purpose, a rating system was established and points were assigned for 1) IUCN Red List status, 2) year of latest assessment, 3) level of endemism 4) *ex situ* populations, 5) inclusion in legislation and 6) no occurrence in protected areas. For more detailed information about the categories and the evaluation, see Suppl. material 1: table S19.

Results

Reptile diversity

With a total of 484 reptile species, representing all orders, Vietnam harbors 4.2% of the global reptile diversity (Table 1). The order Testudines was the highest represented, comprising 8.6% ($n = 31$) of the global species richness, followed by Serpentes with 6.2% ($n = 244$), Crocodylia with 3.7% ($n = 1$) and Sauria with 2.9% ($n = 208$) (see Table 1). The order Squamata, with its suborders Sauria and Serpentes, accounted for 93.4% ($n = 452$) of all 484 reptile species recorded in Vietnam. Specifically, more than half of the squamates were snakes (54%, $n = 244$), the others belonged to the suborder of lizards ($n = 208$). Roughly 6.4% ($n = 31$) of all species in Vietnam ($n = 484$) belonged to the order Testudines and 0.2% ($n = 1$) to Crocodylia, which is represented by only one extant species. All of the species belonged to 28 families and 123 genera. The most speciose family was Colubridae with 134 species, followed by Gekkonidae with 93 species. Containing a total of 50 species, the genus *Cyrtodactylus* had the highest species richness (Sauria, Gekkonidae) (13 most species rich genera, Suppl. material 1: table S6).

Table 1. Reptile fauna worldwide, in Vietnam and Vietnamese endemics (percentage compared to global scale). Data for the number of reptiles worldwide were compiled using the Reptile Database (Uetz et al. 2022).

(Sub)order	Worldwide	Vietnam	Vietnamese endemics
Crocodylia	27	1 (3.7%)	0 (0%)
Sauria	7144	208 (2.9%)	119 (1.7%)
Serpentes	3956	244 (6.2%)	37 (0.9%)
Testudines	360	31 (8.6%)	3 (0.8%)
Total	11460	484 (4.2%)	159 (1.4%)

Out of the total 484 reptile species extant in Vietnam, 38.2% (n = 185) were endemic to the Indochinese region, whereas the proportion of Vietnamese endemic species was 32.9% (n = 159). With 74.8% (n = 119), the order Sauria possessed the most species among Vietnamese endemic species, followed by Serpentes and Testudines with 23.2% (n = 37) and 2% (n = 3), respectively.

IUCN Red List status

An IUCN Red List status was available for 418 out of 484 reptile species reported from Vietnam, representing a total of 86.4% (See Fig. 2). Percentage-wise, 13.6 (n = 66) of the 484 Vietnamese reptile species had not yet been assessed by the IUCN, including 29 lizard species, 34 snakes and three turtles.

Among all 418 reptile species assessed by the IUCN, 17.7% (n = 74) were threatened with extinction. 20 of these species were classified as Critically Endangered (CR), 22 species as Endangered (EN), and 32 species as Vulnerable (VU) (Table 2). Measured in absolute numbers, lizards were found to be the most threatened order (31 species), followed by turtles with 27 threatened species, snakes with 15 threatened species, and one crocodile species classified as Critically Endangered. In terms of relative proportions of threatened and non-threatened species within each order, Crocodylia was the most threatened




Figure 2. Listing on the IUCN Red List of threatened species. Total number of Vietnamese reptile species listed and not listed in total and separated by order.

Table 2. Vietnamese reptile species and their IUCN Red List status, including endemics.

	IUCN Red List status						No status (NE)	Total
	CR	EN	VU	NT	LC	DD		
All Vietnamese reptile species								
Crocodylia	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sauria	4	9	18	7	110	31	29	208
Serpentes	0	4	11	4	153	38	34	244
Testudines	15	9	3	1	0	0	3	31
Total	20	22	32	12	263	69	66	484
Endemics								
Sauria	4	8	15	4	35	28	25	119
Serpentes	0	2	2	1	0	15	17	37
Testudines	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total	7	10	17	5	35	43	42	159

order with 100% (n = 1), immediately followed by Testudines, with 96.4% threatened species (27 out of 28 listed turtle species). Lizards with 17.3% (31 out of 179 listed species) and snakes with 7.1% (15 out of 210 listed species) were much less threatened. More than half (61.5%; n = 110) of the lizards listed (n = 179) and two-thirds (72.9%; n = 153) of the snakes (n = 210) were considered LC, and about one-fifth of each of these orders was listed as DD.

In the IUCN Red List, 73.6% (n = 117) of all endemic species from Vietnam (n = 159) were included. While 36.8% (43 species) were classified as DD, 29.1% (34 species) were considered threatened with extinction and the remaining 34.1% (40 species) non-threatened (see Table 2, see examples in Fig. 3). While the endemic species from Vietnam evaluated by the IUCN accounted for 28% (n = 117) of all assessed species (n = 418), they also covered 45.9% (34 of 74 species) of all species assessed as threatened. They made up 35.0% (n = 7) of all CR species (n = 20), 45.5% (n = 10) of all EN species (n = 22), and 53.1% (n = 17) of all VU species (n = 32).

Listing in CITES and Vietnam’s decrees

Appendix I of CITES included 13 reptile species from Vietnam: nine turtles, three lizards, and one crocodile. A total of 34 species were listed in appendix II, including 18 turtles, nine snakes, and seven lizards. Only two turtles were not listed in CITES appendices I or II. *Mauremys sinensis* was listed in appendix III and *Amyda ornata* was not included in any appendix (Table 3).

Similar to CITES, Decree No. 84/2021 contains appendices I and II, listing a total of 42 species. All lizards (n = 10) listed in Decree No. 84/2021 were identical to those in CITES, as were the listings of eight snakes. The ninth snake species, *Ophiophagus hannah*, however, was included in appendix I whereas internationally it was listed in appendix II. Identical national and international listings were also found for 17 turtles. Seven species were found in CITES but not in Decree No. 84/2021, two species were listed in Decree No. 84/2021 but not in CITES and three species were placed in appendix I in Decree No. 84/2021 but only in appendix II in CITES (Table 3).




Figure 3. Threatened endemic reptile species from Vietnam **A** *Cuora picturata* (IUCN: CR) **B** *Leiolepis guentherpetersi* (IUCN: EN) **C** *Boiga bourreti* (IUCN: EN) **D** *Trimeresurus truongsonensis* (IUCN: EN) (Photos: C. T. Pham (**A**) A. Rauhaus (**B**) T. Ziegler (**C, D**)).

The Vietnam Red Data Book comprises a total of 41 reptiles with 20 turtles (CR: 5, EN: 10, VU: 5), 15 snakes (CR: 2, EN: 6, VU: 7), five lizards (EN: 2, VU: 3) and one crocodile (CR: 1). Of 74 species categorized as threatened by the IUCN, 41 species (55.4%) of the IUCN listed threatened reptiles, were present in the Vietnam Red Data Book. Only six species shared the same status between the IUCN Red List and the Vietnam Red Data Book. As for 32 species with different status, 21 were evaluated as more threatened in the Vietnam Red Data Book than by the IUCN Red List. The other eleven, however, were assigned more threatened status by the IUCN Red List than in the Vietnam Red Data Book. *Cuora cyclornata* was listed in the Vietnam Red List (CR) but had not been evaluated by the IUCN. In addition, 30 of the 33 threatened endemic reptiles in the IUCN Red List were not incorporated in the Vietnam Red Data Book. Only three threatened endemic species, all belonging to the order Testudines, were listed in the Vietnam Red Data Book: *Cuora picturata*, *Mauremys annamensis* and *Rafetus swinhoei*. The latest Red Data Book was published in 2007 and while 18 threatened species were described after the publication, three snakes and nine lizards had been described before and could have been included.

In Decree No. 64/2019, consisting of species of high conservation priority, 15 reptiles, comprising two lizards, one snake, and 12 turtles, were incorporated. All of the species, except for the two lizard species, were also listed in the Vietnam Red Data Book as either CR or EN. Only four of the species, namely *Cnemaspis psychedelica*, *Cuora picturata*, *Mauremys annamensis* and *Rafetus swinhoei*, are endemic to Vietnam.

Table 3. Threat status of reptile species extant in Vietnam, including sea turtles, listed in CITES, Decree 64/2019, Decree 84/2021 or the Vietnam Red Data Book and their IUCN Red List status. Endemic: *: species is endemic to Vietnam; ** species is endemic to the Indochinese Region. *Rafetus swinhoei* is considered endemic to Vietnam because the population in China is no longer viable with the only known extant male being sterile.

Species	Endemic	IUCN Red List status	Vietnam Red Data Book	Decree 64/2019	Decree 84/2021	CITES
Crocodylia						
<i>Crocodylus siamensis</i>		CR	CR		IB	I
Sauria						
<i>Cnemaspis psychedelica</i>	*	EN		Yes	IB	I
<i>Gekko gekko</i>		LC	VU		IIB	II
<i>Goniurosaurus araneus</i>		EN			IIB	II
<i>Goniurosaurus catbaensis</i>	*	EN			IIB	II
<i>Goniurosaurus huuliensis</i>	*	CR			IIB	II
<i>Goniurosaurus lichtenfelderi</i>		VU			IIB	II
<i>Goniurosaurus luii</i>		VU			IIB	II
<i>Leiolepis reevesii</i>		LC	VU			
<i>Physignathus cocincinus</i>		VU	VU			
<i>Shinisaurus crocodilurus vietnamensis</i>	*	EN		Yes	IB	I
<i>Varanus bengalensis nebulosus</i>		NT	EN		IB	I
<i>Varanus salvator</i>		LC	EN		IIB	II
Serpentes						
<i>Azemiops feae</i>		LC	VU			
<i>Bungarus fasciatus</i>		LC	EN			
<i>Coelognathus radiatus</i>		LC	VU			
<i>Elaphe moellendorffi</i>		VU	VU			
<i>Euprepiophis mandarinus</i>		LC	VU			
<i>Gonyosoma prasinum</i>		LC	VU			
<i>Malayopython reticulatus</i>		LC	CR		IIB	II
<i>Naja atra</i>		VU	EN		IIB	II
<i>Naja kaouthia</i>		LC	EN		IIB	II
<i>Naja siamensis</i>		VU	EN		IIB	II
<i>Ophiophagus hannah</i>		VU	CR	Yes	IB	II
<i>Oreocryptophis porphyraceus</i>		LC	VU			
<i>Ptyas korros</i>		NT	EN			
<i>Ptyas mucosa</i>		LC	EN		IIB	II
<i>Python bivittatus</i>		VU			IIB	II
<i>Python brongersmai</i>		LC			IIB	II
<i>Python curtus</i>		LC			IIB	II
<i>Subessor bocourti</i>		LC	VU			
Testudines						
<i>Amyda ornata</i>		NE			IIB	
<i>Caretta caretta</i>		VU	CR	Yes		I
<i>Chelonia mydas</i>		EN	EN	Yes		I
<i>Cuora amboinensis</i>		EN	VU		IIB	II

Species	Endemic	IUCN Red List status	Vietnam Red Data Book	Decree 64/2019	Decree 84/2021	CITES
<i>Cuora bourreti</i>	**	CR	EN	Yes	IB	I
<i>Cuora cyclornata</i>		NE	CR	Yes	IB	II
<i>Cuora galbinifrons</i>		CR	EN	Yes	IB	II
<i>Cuora mouhotii</i>		EN			IIB	II
<i>Cuora picturata</i>		CR	EN	Yes	IB	I
<i>Cyclemys oldhami</i>		EN			IIB	II
<i>Cyclemys pulchristriata</i>	**	EN			IIB	II
<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>		VU	CR	Yes		I
<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>		CR	EN	Yes		I
<i>Geoemyda spengleri</i>		EN			IIB	II
<i>Heosemys annandalii</i>		CR	EN		IIB	II
<i>Heosemys grandis</i>		CR	VU		IIB	II
<i>Indotestudo elongata</i>		CR	EN			II
<i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i>		VU	EN	Yes		I
<i>Malayemys subtrijuga</i>	**	NT	VU		IIB	II
<i>Manouria impressa</i>		EN	VU		IIB	II
<i>Mauremys annamensis</i>	*	CR	CR	Yes	IB	I
<i>Mauremys mutica</i>		CR			IIB	II
<i>Mauremys sinensis</i>		CR				III
<i>Palea steindachneri</i>		CR	VU		IIB	II
<i>Pelochelys cantorii</i>		CR	EN	Yes	IB	II
<i>Platysternon megacephalum</i>		CR	EN		IB	I
<i>Rafetus swinhoei</i>	*	CR	CR	Yes	IB	II
<i>Sacalia quadriocellata</i>		CR			IIB	II
<i>Siebenrockiella crassicollis</i>		EN			IIB	II

Distribution

The diversity of the reptile fauna varied among the different subregions of Vietnam. The highest species diversity among the 13 subregions occurred in the Northwestern Uplands with 172 reptile species, followed by the Northeastern Lowlands with 166 and Northeastern Uplands and the Central Annamites with 164 species each. The highest endemic species richness was found in the Central Annamites subregion with 32 species (Fig. 4B, Suppl. material 1: table S7). Looking further at the distribution of endemic species, 89.9% (n = 143, out of total 159) were endemic to one of the three macroregions with most of them found in Southern Vietnam (59 species out of 143, 41.3%), followed by Northern Vietnam (48, 33.6%) and Central Vietnam (36, 25.2%). While 135 species (84.9%) out of total 159 endemics were recorded from just one region, 22 were documented from two regions and the remaining two from three regions. No endemic reptile species was recorded from all four regions (Uplands, Lowlands, Coasts and Islands) (Suppl. material 1: tables S8, S9, S15).

The 135 species occurring in just one region were considered regional endemics. Only four of the regional endemic reptiles (3.0%) occurred in two subregions, the other 97.0% (131 species) were exclusively found in one subregion




Figure 4. Map of Vietnam with its 13 subregions and number of recorded reptile species, separated by different factors **A** number of recorded reptile species per subregion among total 484 species **B** number of Vietnamese endemic reptile species per subregion among total 159 species.

only, and thus considered subregional endemics (Suppl. material 1: table S11). Approximately 74.0% of the subregional endemics ($n = 131$) were lizards (97 species) and the other 26.0% were snakes (34 species). None of the subregional endemics was a turtle. Of the endemic species belonging to the order Sauria (119 species), 81% ($n = 97$) were subregional endemics and distributed in 12 of the 13 subregions. For the order Serpentes, 92% ($n = 34$) of the 37 endemic species were subregional endemics, occurring in 11 subregions. Among the 13 subregions, most subregional endemics were restricted to the Central Annamites subregion, where 21 of the 131 subregional endemic species (16%) occurred (Fig. 5, Suppl. material 1: table S11).

Regarding the distribution of endemic species and their IUCN Red List status, most threatened species (44.1%) were endemic to Southern Vietnam (15 out of 34 threatened species, CR: 2; EN: 2; VU: 11), further 23.5% of the threatened species (8 species, CR: 1; EN: 5; VU: 2) were endemic to Northern Vietnam and six species (CR: 3; VU: 3) to Central Vietnam. In terms of only subregional endemic species ($n = 131$), a considerable number (31%, 41 species) had not yet been evaluated by the IUCN and a further 27% (36 species) were assessed as DD. In percentage terms, 35% ($n = 26$) of all threatened species (74 species) were endemic on the subregional level (CR: 4; EN: 7; VU: 15). The subregion with most threatened subregional endemics was the Mekong Delta with seven threatened species (CR: 1; VU: 6), followed by the Southern Islands (SIS) with five threatened endemics (EN: 2; VU: 3) and the Central-Southern Lowlands (CSL) with three threatened species (CR: 1; VU: 2) (Suppl. material 1: tables S12–S14).




Figure 5. Map of Vietnam with its 13 subregions and number of subregional endemic reptile species (n = 131).

Further analyses showed that while 43 species of subregional endemics (n = 131) occurred in multiple locations within their respective subregion, 88 species did not. These species were endemic at the local level, which means they were exclusively reported from their type localities and represented a majority of endemic species at 55.3% (n = 88) (Table 4).

Table 4. Distribution range of Vietnamese endemic reptile species, separated by size of area in which they occur.

Distribution	Description	Number of species	Percentage
Local	Type locality only	88	55%
Subregional	Multiple locations within one subregion	43	27%
Regional	Multiple locations within a region in one or two macroregions	4	3%
Macroregional	Multiple locations in two or more regions within a macroregion	13	8%
Widespread	Multiple locations in two macroregions	8	5%
Countrywide	Multiple locations in all three macroregions	3	2%
Total		159	100%

Coverage by protected areas

A total of 78 out of the 454 terrestrial and limnic reptile species included in the protected area analysis had not been reported from any protected area and are not likely to be covered. Of the species, 53 were endemic and 19 were threatened. Up to 32.1% ($n = 17$) of the 53 endemic species not yet found in any protected area were considered threatened (CR: 4; EN: 2; VU: 11) and another 52.8% were still listed as DD (20 species) or NE (8 species). As many as 27 of the 53 endemic species were only reported from their type locality. Another 15.1% (8 species) were subregional endemics (Figs 6, 7, Suppl. material 1: table S17).

Ex situ keeping of Vietnamese reptiles

The ZIMS analysis showed that 109 out of 484 reptile species reported to occur in Vietnam (22.5%) were held in zoos around the world. A total of 17 of the species were either endemic to Indochina ($n = 7$) or to Vietnam ($n = 10$). Thus, 6.3% of all endemic Vietnamese reptiles (10 of 159 species) were represented in zoo husbandries. According to ZIMS, the other 93.7% ($n = 149$) of 159 endemic reptile species were not kept in any zoo. The held endemic species consisted of seven lizards, two turtles, and one snake.

According to the IUCN Red List, 41.3% of the 109 species held were considered threatened with extinction (45 species; CR: 15; EN: 16; VU: 14) (Table 5). A further 3.7% were classified either as DD ($n = 3$) or NE ($n = 1$), 4.6% categorized as NT ($n = 5$) and 50.4% considered LC ($n = 55$) (Suppl. material 1: table S18). The 45 threatened species kept in zoos worldwide accounted for a total of 60.8% ($n = 45$) of all 74 threatened species extant in Vietnam (see Fig. 8 for examples). In terms of ten endemic reptile species held in zoos ($n = 10$), eight were categorized as threatened (CR: 3; EN: 4; VU: 1) and two as DD. As a result, 23.5% ($n = 8$) of all Vietnamese threatened endemic reptile species ($n = 34$) were held in institutions globally.

Regarding the breeding success of reptile species reported from Vietnam in zoo holdings, 49 species (45.0%) out of 109 reproduced within the last 12 months. A total of 25 of these 49 species (51.0%) were classified as threatened (CR: 10; EN: 10; VU: 5) and eight (14%) were endemic to Vietnam. Three species with most hatchlings were all evaluated as threatened but are not endemic to Vietnam, namely *Indotestudo elongata*, *Cuora amboinensis* and *Heosemys grandis*, thus their source of the breeding stock must not derive necessarily from Vietnam.

According to the ZTL, 108 reptile species extant in Vietnam were kept in European institutions. A total of 18 of these species were not included in ZIMS, the other 90 species were included in both databases (ZIMS and ZTL) and 19 species were listed in ZIMS but not in ZTL (Table 5, Suppl. material 1: table S17). As many as 40 of the 108 species (37.0%) were classified as threatened (CR: 15; EN: 14; VU: 11), four as NT (3.7%), 60 as LC (55.5%), three as DD, and one Not Evaluated (NE), *Acanthosaura murphyi*. Therefore, 54.1% ($n = 40$) of all 74 threatened species were represented in institutions in Europe according to the ZTL.

In terms of coordinated *ex situ* populations, four Vietnamese turtle species are both managed in AZA (Association of Zoos and Aquariums) studbooks and EAZA (European Association of Zoos and Aquariums) *Ex situ* programs (EEP), namely *Cuora bourreti*, *C. galbinifrons*, *C. picturata* and *Mauremys annamensis*.




Figure 6. Species richness across the mainland of Vietnam and Vietnam’s protected areas **A** all 454 terrestrial reptile species extant in Vietnam **B** all NE species **C** all not threatened species **D** all threatened species **E** weighted endemism of all reptiles **F** number of reptiles within each protected area.




Figure 7. Microendemic reptile species from Vietnam not yet recorded in any protected area **A** *Cyrtodactylus gialaiensis* **B** *Gekko truongi* **C** *Achalinus juliani* **D** *Calamaria gialaiensis* (Photos: H. Ngo (**A**) T. M. Phung (**B**) T. Ziegler (**C, D**)).

Table 5. Representation of threatened Vietnamese reptile species held according to ZIMS (n = 45) and ZTL (n = 40), including sea turtles. Species: **: species is endemic to the Indochinese Region, *: species is endemic to Vietnam. IUCN status: IUCN Red List status (IUCN 2022). Institutions: number of institutions. Individuals: number of individuals. Breeding institutions: Number of institutions which bred in the past 12 month. Hatchings: Offspring in the past 12 months.

Species	IUCN status	ZIMS				ZTL
		Institutions (regions)	Individuals	Breeding institutions	Hatchings	
Crocodylia						
<i>Crocodylus siamensis</i>	CR	33 (3)	268	–	–	30
Sauria						
<i>Cnemaspis psychedelica</i> *	EN	7 (2)	34	2	3	–
<i>Gekko badenii</i> *	EN	15 (2)	56	2	4	24
<i>Goniurosaurus araneus</i> **	EN	1 (1)	7	1	2	3
<i>Goniurosaurus catbaensis</i> *	EN	1 (1)	16	1	6	–
<i>Goniurosaurus huuliensis</i> *	CR	1 (1)	23	1	13	2
<i>Goniurosaurus lichtenfelderi</i>	VU	3 (2)	11	1	3	2
<i>Goniurosaurus luii</i>	VU	4 (1)	21	1	2	4
<i>Physignathus cocincinus</i>	VU	76 (3)	348	2	19	–
<i>Shinisaurus crocodilurus vietnamensis</i> *	EN	3 (1)	19	1	8	4
Serpentes						
<i>Deinagkistrodon acutus</i>	VU	3 (2)	10	1	2	8

Species	IUCN status	ZIMS				ZTL
		Institutions (regions)	Individuals	Breeding institutions	Hatchings	
<i>Boiga bourreti</i>	EN	–	–	–	–	1
<i>Elaphe moellendorffi</i>	VU	6 (3)	17	–	–	11
<i>Elaphe taeniura</i>	VU	26 (3)	58	–	–	42
<i>Lycodon paucifasciatus</i> *	VU	1 (1)	6	–	–	–
<i>Naja atra</i>	VU	1 (1)	1	–	–	5
<i>Naja siamensis</i>	VU	9 (4)	23	–	–	11
<i>Ophiophagus hannah</i>	VU	52 (5)	95	–	–	26
<i>Protobothrops sieversorum</i>	VU	1 (1)	2	–	–	1
<i>Protobothrops trungkhanhensis</i>	EN	1 (1)	2	–	–	1
<i>Python bivittatus</i>	VU	261 (6)	767	6	33	268
Testudines						
<i>Caretta caretta</i>	VU	26 (4)	346	–	–	42
<i>Chelonia mydas</i>	EN	38 (5)	977	–	–	50
<i>Cuora amboinensis</i>	EN	52 (4)	596	2	71	41
<i>Cuora bourreti</i> **	CR	15 (3)	83	3	9	6
<i>Cuora galbinifrons</i>	CR	24 (3)	88	2	6	8
<i>Cuora mouhotii</i>	EN	18 (3)	61	1	1	14
<i>Cuora picturata</i> *	CR	5 (3)	43	1	1	5
<i>Cyclemys atripons</i>	EN	2 (2)	4	–	–	–
<i>Cyclemys oldhami</i>	EN	3 (1)	13	–	–	3
<i>Cyclemys pulchristriata</i>	EN	2 (1)	10	–	–	2
<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	VU	1 (1)	2	–	–	–
<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>	CR	12 (5)	61	–	–	11
<i>Geoemyda spengleri</i> **	EN	41 (2)	263	2	5	15
<i>Heosemys annandalii</i>	CR	21 (3)	228	2	5	3
<i>Heosemys grandis</i>	CR	37 (3)	419	4	38	28
<i>Indotestudo elongata</i>	CR	53 (4)	917	9	89	32
<i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i>	VU	3 (3)	4	–	–	2
<i>Manouria impressa</i>	EN	10 (3)	25	1	2	3
<i>Mauremys annamensis</i> *	CR	40 (3)	224	2	6	34
<i>Mauremys mutica</i>	CR	17 (3)	178	–	–	9
<i>Mauremys sinensis</i>	CR	44 (3)	307	–	–	39
<i>Pelochelys cantorii</i>	CR	2 (1)	4	–	–	1
<i>Platysternon megacephalum</i>	CR	13 (3)	48	4	15	6
<i>Sacalia quadriocellata</i>	CR	12 (3)	74	1	3	6
<i>Siebenrockiella crassicollis</i>	EN	24 (3)	122	1	1	17

Further, there are five additional species managed only in AZA studbooks (*Cuora mouhotii*, *Geoemyda spengleri*, *Heosemys annandalii*, *Manouria impressa*, *Sacalia quadriocellata*) and four managed only in EAZA EEPs (*Cuora amboinensis*, *Mauremys mutica*, *M. sinensis*, *Siebenrockiella crassicollis*). There are three AZA studbooks for Vietnamese Squamata, namely *Shinisaurus crocodilurus*, *Malayopython reticulatus* and *Ophiophagus hannah*. Within the EAZA, there is currently only one monitoring program (Mon-T) for *Gonyosoma boulengeri*.




Figure 8. Threatened reptile species from Vietnam already in *ex situ* conservation breeding programs **A** *Mauremys an-namensis* (CITES: I; IUCN: CR; Vietnam Red Data Book: CR) **B** *Cnemaspis psychedelica* (CITES: I; IUCN: EN) **C** *Gekko badenii* (IUCN: EN) **D** *Goniurosaurus huuliensis* (CITES: II; IUCN: CR) (Photos: T. Q. Nguyen (**A**) T. Ziegler (**B, D**) T. M. Phung (**C**)).

Diversity analysis

An analysis of the spatial coverage of ZIMS-registered zoos holding reptile species from or occurring in Vietnam revealed that the greatest densities are found in Europe, followed by North America. This was true for all Vietnamese reptile species, as well as when only considering endemic species (Fig. 9A, B).

Top 50 list

In the end, 55 species were included in the Top 50 list as 5 additional species had the same score (12 points). All taxa in the list were endemic to Vietnam and 80.0% ($n = 44$ out of 55) have only been recorded from their type localities. Subregional endemic species accounted for 14.5% ($n = 8$). Most of the species (65.5%) were DD ($n = 27$) or NE ($n = 9$) species and another 23.6% ($n = 13$) were listed as threatened with extinction (CR: 3; VU: 10). The Top Five species were all scored at 17 points and are only known from their type localities. They have no *ex situ* component and do not occur in any protected area (Table 6). Three of those species were listed as DD. The other two species, namely *Cyrtodactylus gialaiensis* and *C. nigriocularis*, were listed as CR.




Figure 9. Geographic overview of zoo-held reptiles from or occurring in Vietnam (according to ZIMS). Countries are shaded according to the number of ZIMS members. Individual zoos are colored based on the number of individuals per zoo **A** all reptile species reported from Vietnam **B** only Vietnamese endemic reptile species.

Table 6. Top 55 list of species likely to benefit most from conservation efforts. CR, EN, VU, DD, NE: IUCN Red List Status (IUCN 2022). Type locality only: species that have received points are known exclusively from their type locality. Subregional endemic: species that have received points are endemic to one subregion. No occurrence in protected area: Species does not occur in any protected area within Vietnam. No *ex situ* populations: species that have received points are not held in zoos according to ZIMS. Not listed in national/international legislations: Species that have received points are not listed in CITES, Decree 64/2019 or Decree 84/2021. Points were given if the respective criteria were met. The highest score to be achieved was 17 points. For more detailed information about the scores see Suppl. material 1: table S19.

Species	Points
<i>Argyrophis giadinhensis</i>	17
<i>Calamaria gialaiensis</i>	17
<i>Cyrtodactylus gialaiensis</i>	17
<i>Cyrtodactylus nigriocularis</i>	17
<i>Oligodon moricei</i>	17
<i>Acanthosaura brachypoda</i>	16
<i>Bronchocela orlovi</i>	16
<i>Cnemaspis aurantiacopes</i>	16
<i>Cyrtodactylus dati</i>	16
<i>Cyrtodactylus martini</i>	16
<i>Emoia laobaoensis</i>	16
<i>Eutropis darevskii</i>	16
<i>Gekko truongi</i>	16
<i>Pseudocophotis kontumensis</i>	16
<i>Scincella darevskii</i>	16
<i>Scincella rara</i>	16
<i>Sphenomorphus bacboensis</i>	16
<i>Sphenomorphus sheai</i>	16
<i>Ahaetulla rufusoculara</i>	15
<i>Calamaria sangi</i>	15
<i>Cyrtodactylus phumyensis</i>	15
<i>Cyrtodactylus thochuensis</i>	15
<i>Oligodon macrurus</i>	15
<i>Oligodon rostralis</i>	15
<i>Trimeresurus honsonensis</i>	15
<i>Cnemaspis caudanivea</i>	14
<i>Cnemaspis nuicamensis</i>	14
<i>Cyrtodactylus badenensis</i>	14
<i>Cyrtodactylus bichnganae</i>	14
<i>Cyrtodactylus grismeri</i>	14
<i>Cyrtodactylus huongsonensis</i>	14
<i>Cyrtodactylus huynhi</i>	14
<i>Gekko russelltraini</i>	14
<i>Gekko vietnamensis</i>	14
<i>Hemiphyllodactylus zugii</i>	14
<i>Achalinus juliani</i>	13

Species	Points
<i>Cyrtodactylus septimontium</i>	13
<i>Cyrtodactylus sonlaensis</i>	13
<i>Leiolepis guentherpetersi</i>	13
<i>Parafimbrios vietnamensis</i>	13
<i>Calamaria abramovi</i>	12
<i>Calamaria concolor</i>	12
<i>Calamaria thanhi</i>	12
<i>Cnemaspis tucdupensis</i>	12
<i>Cyrtodactylus chauquangensis</i>	12
<i>Cyrtodactylus cucdongensis</i>	12
<i>Cyrtodactylus eisenmanae</i>	12
<i>Cyrtodactylus hontreensis</i>	12
<i>Cyrtodactylus kingsadai</i>	12
<i>Cyrtodactylus takouensis</i>	12
<i>Enhydris innominata</i>	12
<i>Fimbrios smithi</i>	12
<i>Oligodon annamensis</i>	12
<i>Oligodon saintgironsi</i>	12
<i>Opisthotropis cucae</i>	12

Discussion

As Vietnam has a very rich reptile diversity and the description rate of new species has still remained high, this study presents the current state of knowledge but it is unlikely that it represents the actual state of Vietnam’s reptile fauna. Since the publication of Nguyen et al. (2009), which forms the basis for this study, 112 additional new reptile species have been described from Vietnam (Uetz et al. 2022). Especially in the last three years, the number of new species descriptions has been remarkably high. In 2019, 18 new reptile species were described, eight of which are endemic to Vietnam. In both 2020 and 2021, 10 species endemic to Vietnam were discovered. While in 2020, a total of 12 species were discovered, in 2021, there were only 11. In addition, 35 new country records have been documented since 2009 and some reptile species known from neighboring countries reported only from sites close to the border with Vietnam and thus are expected to be found from Vietnam soon. On the other hand, 32 species have been removed from the list because the populations in Vietnam belong to a different species or the species have now been synonymized with others. Another 69 species have been reassigned to different genera, which shows how much the taxonomy is still in transition and further research is required to perform taxonomic revisions and uncover cryptic diversity.

Conservation status

Given that only 86.4% (n = 418 out of 484) of the reptiles present in Vietnam have been evaluated by the IUCN Red List and not all threatened or endemic species are sufficiently protected by international and national legislation, further efforts need to be made to protect and conserve these species. An im-

portant step in that direction were two decisions at the nineteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP) of CITES in November 2022 towards improved international protection of two reptile species occurring in Vietnam: The Green Water Dragon (*Physignathus cocincinus*) has been included in appendix II and the Indochinese Box Turtle (*Cuora galbinifrons*) has been transferred from appendix II to appendix I, both jointly proposed by Vietnam and the European Union (CITES 2022). We found that only 51.4% (n = 38 out of 74) of all threatened reptile species from Vietnam and only 3.8% (n = 6 out of 159) of all Vietnamese endemic reptile species have been included in CITES and likewise Decree 84/2021 does not list all threatened and endemic reptile species. Most notably, seven threatened turtle species have been incorporated in CITES but not in Decree 84/2021. With 96.4% (n = 27), of all 28 species listed on the IUCN Red List, listed as threatened, turtles are one of the most threatened vertebrate groups and need improved protection measures to ensure their survival.

As a study on the trade of reptiles in Vietnam has shown, *Diploderma cha-paensis* and *Leiolepis guttata* have been traded in large quantities and should have been listed in the old version of the Decree (Decree 06/2019) (Janssen and Indenbaum 2019). Nonetheless, the two species were not considered in the new version (Decree 84/2021). The national legislation is apparently up to date, having been issued every two years (2019 and 2021), but many threatened and endemic species remain missing from the decrees. Consequently, re-evaluation and incorporation of additional threatened endemic species is recommended, as Vietnamese reptiles are considered to be particularly threatened by trade (Janssen and Indenbaum 2019). With regard to Decree 64/2019, only four of the 34 threatened endemic species are listed as high conservation priority, and it is recommended that the other threatened endemic species undergo further review as well. More research is also of great importance for the 69 species classified as DD as otherwise no conservation action can be undertaken and their extinction is more likely than for already assessed species (Howard and Bickford 2014; Bland et al. 2015). Furthermore, priority should also be given to the 66 species that have not yet been assessed in order to enable their assignment to a threat status; and the ones with their status being considered outdated as they have passed the 10 years validation mark. However, most of the species that have not yet been assessed have not been assessed because they have only recently been recognized and herpetologists are in the process of collecting data for IUCN assessments. The fact that endemic reptile species account for 32.9% (n = 159) of all 484 reptile species occurring in Vietnam, while representing 46.0% of all threatened reptile species (34 of 74 species), highlights that species with smaller or restricted ranges are more likely to be threatened and therefore should be protected to a greater extent (Meiri et al. 2017).

Distribution

In terms of distribution, our data only reflect the current state of scientific knowledge, but not the actual distribution of reptile species in Vietnam. This is especially evident for recently described species that have just been reported from their type locality. Further research could expand their distribution ranges. Moreover, as some Vietnamese endemic reptile species occur close to the

borders of adjacent countries such as Laos, Cambodia or China, additional studies might discover new records of the taxa from these countries in the near future. For instance, *Cyrtodactylus dati*, which is found very close to the Cambodian border and is likely to occur there as well but has not yet been confirmed (Uetz et al. 2022). Therefore, some of the species listed as endemic might be in other categories in the future with new data from additional studies.

Not all 159 endemic species identified in the study are evenly distributed, but rather spread across the 13 different subregions. This pattern is in concert with previous findings on amphibians (Geissler et al. 2015). Particularly relevant are those species that are only known from their type locality or endemic to a subregion and therefore well adapted to microclimatic conditions and special habitat characteristics. With 131 species (82.4%), they represent a majority of endemic species and draw attention to the need to establish local conservation areas to safeguard the microendemic species. The Central Annamites (CAN) subregion should be a priority for increased reptile conservation efforts, as this subregion harbors most local and subregional endemics including 21 endemic species, 12 of which are classified as DD. However, the Mekong Delta (MEK) subregion and the Southern Islands (SIS) should also be prioritized in this regard, as they also have high endemic species richness and harbor most threatened species (MEK: 7; SIS: 5).

Approximately 33.5% ($n = 53$) of all terrestrial and limnic endemic reptile species ($n = 158$) have not been reported from any protected area in Vietnam and 17 of these species are considered threatened with extinction (CR: 4; EN: 2; VU: 11). Another 20 species have exclusively been reported from their type locality. For these species as well as for the 20 species considered DD and the eight species which have not been evaluated yet, their conservation status assessment should be undertaken as quickly as possible to design appropriate conservation measures in due time.

Ex situ populations

According to ZIMS, only ten of the 109 reptile species kept are endemic and 45 reptile species are considered threatened. Thus, 60.8% ($n = 45$ out of 74) of all threatened reptile species reported to occur in Vietnam are represented in zoos, but only eight of them are threatened endemic species. A slight majority of the reptile species held (50.5%; $n = 55$ out of 109) is classified as LC. On the other hand, the ZTL only lists 108 species and they differ from those reported in ZIMS. Although more LC species are listed in the ZTL (55.5%; $n = 60$ out of 108), one threatened species not listed in ZIMS is also included, namely *Boiga bourreti*. Despite potentially incomplete data, our analysis suggests that only a few of the reptile species present in Vietnam are kept in zoos (22.5%; $n = 109$ out of 484) and the number of endemic species is even smaller with only 6.3% ($n = 10$ out of 159) of the endemic species maintained in zoos worldwide. For the species, the number of kept individuals is limited (except for *Mauremys annamensis*). It is therefore recommended to increase the number of endemic and especially threatened endemic reptile species in zoos in order to maintain assurance *ex situ* populations of these species, so that in the event of a natural disaster, over-collection or disease outbreak, a complete extinction of these species can be prevented. However, acquiring these species presents a challenge and it is important to point out that we certainly do not recommend buying wild-caught in-

dividuals of threatened species, as this could fuel the trade and contribute to the decrease or loss of natural populations. We would rather recommend focusing on threatened species that are already kept in zoological collections, in breeding stations or at reputable breeders. It is important to invest in cooperations with partners and stations in the country to build up legal captive breeding programs. If it is necessary to acquire wild caught animals to build up a new reserve population, this should of course be in consultation with the respective authorities. There is already rising awareness on the need to shift towards threatened species in managed breeding programs within zoological collections, as was reflected by the latest decisions on recently developed regional collection plans (RCPs) within EAZA taxon advisory groups. For example, in the frame of the RCP for chelonian species, a number of EEPs was lately established amongst others for threatened Vietnamese turtle species in the genera *Cuora* and *Mauremys* (Goetz et al. 2019). Moreover, during the latest regional collection plan for lizards, it was decided to set up EEPs for the two Endangered lizard species *Cnemaspis psychedelica* and *Shinisaurus crocodilurus* as well as monitoring programs (Mon-T) for all five *Goniurosaurus* species from Vietnam (Cizelj et al. 2023).

Non-endemic species present more challenging conditions for reintroduction. If the origin of the populations is uncertain, zoos must first carry out genetic studies to assign their populations to a country of origin and to exclude genetic contamination, when reasonable, as this is the only way that successful reintroduction can take place. Since ZIMS and the ZTL do not list all institutions worldwide that keep and breed reptile species, local facilities are not included in the evaluation. However, these facilities can play a very important role in the development of conservation breeding programs, as local stations such as the Me Linh Station for Biodiversity in northern Vietnam may already keep and breed protected reptile species (Ziegler et al. 2015c, 2019c). Through international cooperation, species can thus be transferred to conservation breeding programs in zoos around the world, e.g., conservation breeding initiatives both in the country of origin and abroad were jointly built up for threatened Vietnamese Tiger gecko species (*Goniurosaurus* spp.), the Psychedelic Rock Gecko (*Cnemaspis psychedelica*), or the Vietnamese Crocodile Lizard (*Shinisaurus crocodilurus vietnamensis*), combining research and *ex situ* efforts in Vietnam and Europe (Ziegler et al. 2016, 2019c; Ngo et al. 2020; Nguyen et al. 2021; Van Schingen-Khan et al. 2022; Ziegler and Rauhaus 2022). In-country facilities have the advantage for quick and easy restocking measures, more distant facilities and zoos, respectively, are advantageous in case of disease outbreaks, natural catastrophes or political unrests.

In order to protect threatened species and meet the objectives of the One Plan Approach, *ex situ* populations must be included in global conservation planning of the respective species. The *ex situ* efforts are intended to support the *in situ* conservation efforts and, in the best case, *ex situ* populations can be reintroduced into the wild. However, since the capacity of zoos is not unlimited, not all threatened species can be protected in this manner. Breeding of species is therefore particularly recommended for species whose drivers of extinction are pollution, disease or habitat loss (Clulow et al. 2014) and in the case of Vietnam especially those which are threatened by overexploitation, as for example turtles, crocodile lizards, and psychedelic rock geckos (Van Schingen 2015; Lee et al. 2020). To determine to which level an *ex situ* component is required or beneficial for the conservation strategy of a species, the IUCN Species Survival Commission has published guide-

lines on the use of *ex situ* management for species conservation. In some cases *ex situ* management can be a primary part of a conservation strategy while in others it will be of secondary importance, supporting other interventions (IUCN/SSC 2014).

All recommendations should be understood as a starting point for improved reptile conservation. Since the range size of a species can play a major role in its survival (Meiri et al. 2017), the distribution range of all species should be analyzed in more detail. Meiri et al. (2017) have done such an analysis for lizards, but, for example for snakes, only little information is available. Updated distribution ranges for turtles, including Vietnamese species, have been compiled and published by the Turtle Taxonomy Working Group in 2021 (TTWG 2021), but similar to the other orders more detailed and up to date information is required as most of the turtle species extant in Vietnam (96%; $n = 27$) are threatened with extinction. Related to the range size, the habitats of species should also be studied in greater detail to identify habitat specialists and habitat generalists, as the specialists are often less adaptable to change and thus more vulnerable. It is therefore recommended that further conservation measures should be initiated for particularly threatened species having severely restricted distribution ranges, such as *Cyrtodactylus nigriocularis*, *Cnemaspis psychedelica*, or *Cuora picturata*. Other species used to occupy a much broader region, but their distributions have shrunk considerably due to habitat loss and over-exploitation. For example, while *Mauremys annamensis* and *Cuora cyclornata* are likely extinct in the wild, *Rafetus swinhoei* is on the brink of extinction and restricted to one or a few small lakes in northern Vietnam. In April of 2023, the last known female of this species in Hanoi, Vietnam, died of unknown causes, leaving little hope to recover its population. Both *Mauremys annamensis* and *Rafetus swinhoei* have also not been recorded in any protected area and are thus of utmost importance to consider for further conservation work. Whereas *ex situ* programmes have been implemented in time for *Mauremys annamensis*, unfortunately, no such initiative has been established for *Rafetus swinhoei*. However, Ziegler et al. (2021) have shown that build up of conservation breeding for softshell turtles can be established, when action is taken in time.

The Top 50 list provided in the results section (Table 6) should be taken into account when planning new conservation measures, as the species listed are those currently most threatened with extinction as our study revealed. Especially the Top 5 species should be given attention, as these species would benefit most from further protection measures.

Cyrtodactylus gialaiensis (Place 3), for example, was only discovered in 2017 and up to now no obvious natural habitat has been recognized, as the species was only found in coffee plantations (Luu et al. 2017; Luu et al. 2020b). On the other hand, *Cyrtodactylus nigriocularis* (Place 4) is restricted to a few caves and under extreme pressure of human activities threatening the very small population (Nguyen et al. 2018c). This again highlights the particular need for immediate research and conservation efforts for all the species included in the Top 50 List (Table 6).

Conclusion

Conservation of reptiles in Vietnam needs to be comprehensively evaluated through extended research and prioritizing conservation measures. In particular, support is needed for the most threatened endemic species identified in this

study. It is also important to focus on additional efforts to assess the status of 69 DD and 66 NE species in order to be able to protect them more effectively in the future. Successful protection of the species requires the incorporation of both *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation actions. In particular, the most threatened endemic species must be included in national and international legislations to provide them with additional support. In the implementation of the One Plan Approach, international institutions such as zoos and local facilities play a key role in offering expertise, capacity, and financial resources to support both *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation measures. Since more than half of all Vietnamese reptile species in zoos are classified as LC and only 6.3% (n = 10) of 159 Vietnamese endemic species are maintained *ex situ*, it is crucial that zoos consider shifting their focus to commit more resources for threatened endemic species both through supporting *in situ* projects and building up *ex situ* assurance colonies to be able to supply surplus individuals from breeding programs for *in situ* conservation programs, once needed.

Acknowledgements

We thank T. Pagel and C. Landsberg (Cologne Zoo), as well as S. V. Nguyen (IEBR, Hanoi) for their support of research and conservation in Vietnam. M. Flecks supported us with his graphical skills.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This research was supported by the the National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED, Grant No. 106.05-2021.19) and Cologne Zoo. Cologne Zoo is partner of the World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA): Conservation Projects 07011, 07012 (Herpetodiversity Research, Amphibian and Reptilian Breeding and Rescue Stations).

Author contributions


Data analysis: Lilli Stenger, Anke Große Hovest, Cuong The Pham, Truong Quang Nguyen; conceptualization: Thomas Ziegler, Dennis Rödder, Minh Duc Le, Anna Rauhaus; methodology: Lilli Stenger, Anke Große Hovest, Anna Rauhaus, Dennis Rödder; writing, review and editing: all authors.


Author ORCIDs

Truong Quang Nguyen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6601-0880>

Cuong The Pham  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5158-4526>

Minh Duc Le  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2953-2815>

Dennis Rödder  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6108-1639>

Thomas Ziegler  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4797-609X>

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

References

- Adler K (2009) Herpetological exploration, research, and conservation in Vietnam. Herpetofauna of Vietnam, Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main, 33–56.
- Amarasinghe AAT, Campbell P, Hallermann J, Sidik I, Supriatn J, Ineich I (2015) Two new species of the genus *Cylindrophis* Wagler, 1828 (Squamata: Cylindrophidae) from Southeast Asia. Amphibian & Reptile Conservation 9(1): 34–51. <http://hdl.handle.net/10141/612206>
- Bain RH, Hurley MM (2011) A biogeographic synthesis of the amphibians and reptiles of Indochina. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 2011(360): 1–138. <https://doi.org/10.1206/360.1>
- Barnosky AD, Matzke N, Tomiya S, Wogan GOU, Swartz B, Quental TB, Marshall C, McGuire JL, Lindsey EL, Maguire KC, Mersey B, Ferrer EA (2011) Has the earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? Nature 471(7336): 51–57. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09678>
- Blair ME, Le MD, Sethi G, Thach HM, Nguyen VTH, Amato G, Birchette M, Sterling EJ (2017) The importance of an interdisciplinary research approach to inform wildlife trade management in Southeast Asia. Bioscience 67(11): 995–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix113>
- Blair ME, Le MD, Xu M (2022) Special issue: Transboundary conservation under climate change. Frontiers of Biogeography 14: e54662. <https://doi.org/10.21425/F5F-BG54662>
- Bland LM, Collen BEN, Orme CDL, Bielby JON (2015) Predicting the conservation status of data-deficient species. Conservation Biology 29(1): 250–259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12372>
- Böhm M, Collen B, Baillie JE, Bowles P, Chanson J, Cox N, Hammerson G, Hoffmann M, Livingstone SR, Ram M, Rhodin AGJ, Stuart SN, van Dijk PP, Young BE, Afuang LE, Aghasyan A, Garcia A, Aguilar C, Ajtic R, Akarsu F, Alencar LRV, Allison A, Ananjeva N, Anderson S, Andren C, Ariano-Sanchez D, Arrendondo JC, Auliya M, Austin CC, Avci A, Baker PJ, Barreto-Lima AF, Barrio-Amoros CL, Basu D, Bates MF, Batistella A, Bauer A, Bennett D, Böhme W, Broadley D, Brown R, Burgess J, Captain A, Carreira S, del Rosario Castaneda M, Castro F, Catenazzi A, Cedeno-Vazquez JR, Chapple DG, Cheylan M, Cisneros-Heredia DF, Cogalniceanu D, Coggerr H, Corti C, Costa GC, Couper PJ, Courtney T, Crnobrnja-Isailovic J, Crochet PA, Crother B, Cruz F, Daltry JC, Daniels RJR, Das I, de Silva A, Diesmos AC, Dirksen L, Doan TM, Dodd Jr CK, Doody JS, Dorcas ME, de Barros Filho JD, Egan VT (2013) The conservation status of the world's reptiles. Biological Conservation 157: 372–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.015>
- Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR, Barnosky AD, Garcia A, Pringle RM, Palmer TM (2015) Accelerated modern human-induced species loss: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Science Advances 1(5): e1400253. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253>
- Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR, Dirzo R (2017) Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 114(30): E6089–E6096. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704949114>
- Chamberlain S (2022) Rredlist: 'lucn' red list client. R package version 0.7.1. <https://cran.R-project.org/package=rredlist>

- Chen Z, Shi S, Gao J, Vogel G, Song Z, Ding L, Dai R (2021) A new species of *Trimersurus* (Lacépède, 1804) (Squamata: Viperidae) from Southwestern China, Vietnam, Thailand and Myanmar. *Asian Herpetological Research* 12(2): 167–177C. <https://doi.org/10.16373/j.cnki.ahr.200084>
- Chichorro F, Juslén A, Cardoso P (2019) A review of the relation between species traits and extinction risk. *Biological Conservation* 237: 220–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.07.001>
- CITES (2021a) Convention on International Trade in Wild Plants and Animals. <https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php> [Accessed on 13.03.2022]
- CITES (2021b) Viet Nam. <https://cites.org/eng/parties/country-profiles/vn> [Accessed on 13.03.2022]
- CITES (2022) Provisional list of proposals for amendment of Appendices I and II as received. <https://cites.org/eng/cop/19/amendment-proposals/provisional> [Accessed 14.03.2022]
- Cizelj I, Aparici Plaza D, Martincová I, Hausen N [Eds] (2023) Regional Collection Plan – Sauria – for the EAZA Reptile Taxon Advisory Group - Edition One. EAZA Executive Office, Amsterdam.
- Clulow J, Trudeau VL, Kouba AJ (2014) Amphibian declines in the twenty-first century: why we need assisted reproductive technologies. In: Holt WV, Brown JL, Comizzoli P (Eds) *Reproductive sciences in animal conservation: Progress and Prospects*. Springer, New York, 275–316. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0820-2_12
- Conde DA, Colchero F, Gusset M, Pearce-Kelly P, Byers O, Flesness N, Browne RK, Jones OR (2013) Zoos through the lens of the IUCN Red List: A global metapopulation approach to support conservation breeding programs. *PLoS ONE* 8(12): e80311. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080311>
- Cowie RH, Bouchet P, Fontaine B (2022) The Sixth Mass Extinction: fact, fiction or speculation? *Biological Reviews* 97(2): 640–663. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12816>
- Cox N, Young BE, Bowles P, Fernandez M, Marin J, Rapacciuolo G, Bohm M, Brooks TM, Hedges SB, Hilton-Taylor C, Hoffmann M, Jenkins RKB, Tognelli MF, Alexander GJ, Allison A, Ananjeva NB, Auliya M, Avila LJ, Chapple DG, Cisneros-Heredia DF, Cogger HG, Colli GR, de Silva A, Eiseberg CC, Els J, Fong GA, Grant TD, Hitchmough RA, Iskandar DT, Kidera N, Martins M, Meiri S, Mitchell NJ, Molur S, Nogueira CC, Ortiz JC, Penner J, Rhodin AGJ, Rivas GA, Rodel MO, Roll U, Sanders KL, Santos-Barrera G, Shea GM, Spawls S, Stuart BL, Tolley KA, Trape JF, Vidal MA, Wagner P, Wallace BP, Xie Y (2022) A global reptile assessment highlights shared conservation needs of tetrapods. *Nature* 605(7909): 285–290. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04664-7>
- Cremene C, Groza G, Rakosy L, Schileyko AA, Baur A, Erhardt A, Baur B (2005) Alterations of steppe-like grasslands in Eastern Europe: A threat to regional biodiversity hotspots. *Conservation Biology* 19(5): 1606–1618. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00084.x>
- Crisp MD, Laffan S, Linder HP, Monro A (2001) Endemism in the Australian flora. *Journal of Biogeography* 28(2): 183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00524.x>
- David P, Vogel G, Pauwels OS (2008) A new species of the genus *Oligodon* Fitzinger, 1826 (Squamata: Colubridae) from southern Vietnam and Cambodia. *Zootaxa* 1939(1): 19–37. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1939.1.3>
- Ding L, Chen Z, Suwannapoom C, Nguyen T, Poyarkov NA, Vogel G (2020) A new species of the *Pareas hamptoni* complex (Squamata Serpentes: Pareidae) from the Golden Triangle. *Taprobanica* 9(2): 174–193. <https://doi.org/10.47605/tapro.v9i2.230>
- Do DT, Ngo CD, Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2017) First record of *Lycodon cardamomensis* Daltry & Wüster, 2002, (Squamata: Colubridae) from Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 24(2): 167–170. <https://doi.org/10.30906/1026-2296-2019-24-2-167-170>

- Drury R (2011) Hungry for success: Urban consumer demand for wild animal products in Vietnam. *Conservation & Society* 9(3): 247–257. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-4923.86995>
- Farkas B, Ziegler T, Pham CT, Ong AV, Fritz U (2019) A new species of *Pelodiscus* from northeastern Indochina (Testudines, Trionychidae). *ZooKeys* 71(824): 71–86. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.824.31376>
- Geissler P, Nguyen TQ, Poyarkov NA, Böhme W (2011a) New records of snakes from Cat Tien National Park, Dong Nai and Lam Dong provinces, southern Vietnam. *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* 60(1): 9–16. https://zoologicalbulletin.de/BzB_Volumes/Volume_60_1/009_016_BzB60_1_Geissler_Peter_et_al.pdf
- Geissler P, Nguyen TQ, Phung TM, Van Devender RW, Hartmann T, Farkas B, Ziegler T, Böhme W (2011b) A review of Indochinese skinks of the genus *Lygosoma* Hardwicke & Gray, 1827 (Squamata: Scincidae), with natural history notes and an identification key. *Biologia* 66(6): 1159–1176. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-011-0130-2>
- Geissler P, Hartmann T, Ihlow F, Rödder D, Poyarkov Jr NA, Nguyen TQ, Ziegler T, Böhme W (2015) The Lower Mekong: An insurmountable barrier for amphibians in southern Indochina? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 144(4): 905–914. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12444>
- Gilbert T, Gardner R, Kraaijeveld AR, Riordan P (2017) Contributions of zoos and aquariums to reintroductions: Historical reintroduction efforts in the context of changing conservation perspectives. *International Zoo Yearbook* 51(1): 15–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/izy.12159>
- Goetz M, Aparici Plaza D, Van Lint W, Fienieg E, Hausen N [Eds] (2019) Regional Collection Plan – Chelonia – for the EAZA Reptile Taxon Advisory Group –Edition One. EAZA Executive Office, Amsterdam.
- Grismer LL, Quah ES (2015) The Rediscovery of *Sphenomorphus malayanus* Doria, 1888 (Squamata: Scincidae) from the Titiwangsa Mountain Range of Peninsular Malaysia and its re-description as *S. senja* sp. nov. *Zootaxa* 3931(1): 63–70. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3931.1.4>
- Grismer LL, Wood PLJ, Quah ESH, Anuar S, Poyarkov NA, Thy N, Orlov NL, Thammachoti P, Seiha H (2019a) Integrative taxonomy of the Asian skinks *Sphenomorphus stellatus* (Boulenger, 1900) and *S. praesignis* (Boulenger, 1900) with the resurrection of *S. annamiticus* (Boettger, 1901) and the description of a new species from Cambodia. *Zootaxa* 4683(3): 381–411. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4683.3.4>
- Grismer LL, Wood PLJ, Grismer JL, Quah ES, Thy N, Phimmachak S, Sivongxay N, Seateun S, Stuart BL, Siler CB, Mulchay DG, Anamza T, Brown RM (2019b) Geographic structure of genetic variation in the Parachute Gecko *Ptychozoon lionotum* Annandale, 1905 across Indochina and Sundaland with descriptions of three new species. *Zootaxa* 4638(2): 151–198. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4638.2.1>
- Grismer LL, Thai P, Wood P (2020) A new endemic insular Bent-toed Gecko (Squamata: Gekkonidae: *Cyrtodactylus*) from Quang Nam Province, Central Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4766(2): 389–400. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4766.2.7>
- Grismer LL, Ngo HN, Qi S, Wang YY, Le MD, Ziegler T (2021a) Phylogeny and evolution of habitat preference in *Goniurosaurus* (Squamata: Eublepharidae) and their correlation with karst and granite-stream-adapted ecomorphologies in species groups from Vietnam. *Vertebrate Zoology* 71: 335–352. <https://doi.org/10.3897/vz.71.e65969>
- Grismer LL, Wood JPL, Poyarkov NA, Le MD, Kraus F, Agarwal I, Grismer JL (2021b) Phylogenetic partitioning of the third-largest vertebrate genus in the world, *Cyrtodactylus* Gray, 1827 (Reptilia; Squamata; Gekkonidae) and its relevance to taxonomy and conservation. *Vertebrate Zoology* 71: 101–154. <https://doi.org/10.3897/vertebrate-zoology.71.e59307>

- Gusset M (2019) Zoos and Aquariums Committing to Integrated Species Conservation. The Routledge Handbook of Animal Ethics. Routledge, 357–366. <https://www.taylor-francis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781315105840-33/zoos-aquariums-committing-integrated-species-conservation-markus-gusset>
- Habel JC, Rasche L, Schneider UA, Engler JO, Schmid E, Rödder D, Meyer ST, Trapp N, del Diego RS, Eggermont H, Lens L, Stork NE (2019) Final countdown for biodiversity hotspots. Conservation Letters 12(6): e12668. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12668>
- Hecht VL, Pham CT, Nguyen TT, Nguyen TQ, Bonkowski M, Ziegler T (2013) First report on the herpetofauna of Tay Yen Tu nature reserve, northeastern Vietnam. Biodiversity Journal 4(4): 507–552.
- Hoang NT, Ho AT, Ong VA, Thi HLL (2018) New records of *Dopasia gracilis* (Squamata: Anguillidae) in Bach Ma national park, Thua Thien-Hue province. Studia Universitatis Moldaviae 111(1): 56–60. [Seria Științe Reale și ale Naturii]
- Holden J, Poyarkov NA (2021) A range extension for *Dryophiops rubescens* (Gray, 1835) with the first record of the species from Vietnam. Herpetology Notes 14: 795–798. <https://www.biotaxa.org/hn/article/view/67227/66773>
- Howard SD, Bickford DP (2014) Amphibians over the edge: silent extinction risk of Data Deficient species. Diversity and distributions 20(7): 837–846. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12218>
- IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. In: Brondizio ES, Settele J, Díaz S, Ngo HT (Eds) IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany, 1148 pp. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3831673>
- IUCN/SSC (2014) Guidelines on the Use of Ex Situ Management for Species Conservation. Version 2.0. IUCN Species Survival Commission, Gland, Switzerland.
- IUCN (2022) Regional Red List Assessments. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-1. <https://www.iucnredlist.org/about/regional> [Accessed on 13.03.2022]
- Janssen J, Indenbaum RA (2019) Endemic Vietnamese reptiles in commercial trade. Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity 12(1): 45–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japb.2018.11.010>
- Jung M, Dahal PR, Butchart SHM, Donald PF, De Lamo X, Lesiv M, Kapos V, Rondinini C, Visconti P (2020) A global map of terrestrial habitat types. Scientific Data 7(1): 256. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00599-8>
- Krzikowski M, Nguyen TQ, Pham CT, Rödder D, Le MD, Ziegler T (2022) Assessment of the threat status of the amphibians in Vietnam – implementation of the one plan approach. Nature Conservation 49: 77–116. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.49.82145>
- Kurniawan N, Septiadi L, Fathoni M, Wibawa GS, Thammachoti P (2021) Out of Indochina: Confirmed specimen record and first molecular identification of *Psammophis indochinensis* Smith, 1943 (Squamata, Psammophiidae) from Bali, Indonesia. Check List 17(6): 1521–1531. <https://doi.org/10.15560/17.6.1521>
- Le DO, Van Thong P, Bordes C, LePrince B, Ducotterd C, Zuklin T, Quang Vinh L, Julia FA, Luiselli L (2021) Characteristics of a snake community in the hilly forest of Quan Son District, northern Vietnam. Herpetological Conservation and Biology 16(3): 491–505.
- Le DT, Dao AN, Pham DT, Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2018) New records and an updated list of snakes from Yen Bai Province, Vietnam. Herpetology Notes 11: 101–108.
- Le DT, Lo NT, Tran HN, Do YT (2020) Biodiversity and composition of the herpetofauna from the Tien Hai Wetland Nature Reserve, North Vietnam. Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Experimental Therapeutics 3(2): 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.5455/jabet.2020.d115>

- Le MD, McCormack TE, Van Hoang H, Duong HT, Nguyen TQ, Ziegler T, Nguyen HD, Ngo HT (2020) Threats from wildlife trade: The importance of genetic data in safeguarding the endangered Four-eyed turtle (*Sacalia quadriocellata*). *Nature Conservation* 41: 91–111. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.41.54661>
- Le TA, Markowski J, Bartos M (2018) The comparative analyses of selected aspects of conservation and management of Vietnam's national parks. *Nature Conservation* 25: 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.25.19973>
- Lees AC, Pimm SL (2015) Species, extinct before we know them? *Current Biology* 25(5): R177–R180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.017>
- Li K, Wu YY, Xu RY, Zhu F, Ren JL, Guo P, Dong BJ (2021) A new species of the *Achalinus rufescens* complex (Xenodermidae: *Achalinus*) from Fujian Province, China. *Zootaxa* 5026(2): 239–254. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5026.2.5>
- Linh LK, Vinh LQ, Truong NQ (2019) New records of skinks (Squamata: Scincidae) from Nam Dong valuable Gymnosperm conservation area, Thanh Hoa province. *Journal of Forestry Science and Technology* 8: 109–116.
- Luu VQ, Calame T, Nguyen TQ, Bonkowski M, Ziegler T (2015a) A new species of *Cyrtodactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from the limestone forest of Khammouane Province, central Laos. *Zootaxa* 4058(3): 388–402. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4058.3.6>
- Luu VQ, Calame T, Nguyen TQ, Le MD, Ziegler T (2015b) Morphological and molecular review of the Gekko diversity of Laos with descriptions of three new species. *Zootaxa* 3986(3): 279–306. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3986.3.2>
- Luu VQ, Tran DV, Nguyen TQ, Le MD, Ziegler T (2017) A new species of the *Cyrtodactylus irregularis* complex (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Gia Lai Province, Central Highlands of Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4362(3): 385–403. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4362.3.4>
- Luu VQ, Ziegler T, Ha N, Le MD, Hoang TT (2019) A new species of *Lycodon* Boie, 1826 (Serpentes: Colubridae) from Thanh Hoa Province, Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4586(2): 261–277. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4586.2.3>
- Luu VQ, Dinh TS, Lo OV, Nguyen TQ, Ziegler T (2020a) New records and an updated list of reptiles from Ba Vi National Park, Vietnam. *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* 69: 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.20363/BZB-2020.69.1.001>
- Luu VQ, Lo OV, Hoang TT, Pham TV, Le Duc O, Bordes C, Leprince B, Amori G, Luiselli L (2020b) Ecological characteristics of a recently described, critically endangered gecko species, endemic to Central Highland, Vietnam. *Tropical Zoology* 33(2): 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.4081/tz.2020.71>
- Luu VQ, Ziegler T, Van Ha N, Van Lo O, Hoang TT, Ngo HT, Le MD, Tran DH, Nguyen TQ (2020c) A new species of *Achalinus* (Squamata: Xenodermidae) from Trang An Landscape Complex, Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4877(1): 174–184. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4877.1.8>
- Malcolm JR, Liu C, Neilson RP, Hansen L, Hannah L (2006) Global warming and extinctions of endemic species from biodiversity hotspots. *Conservation Biology* 20(2): 538–548. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00364.x>
- Mallik AK, Srikanthan AN, Pal SP, D'Souza PM, Shanker K, Ganesh SR (2020) Disentangling vines: a study of morphological crypsis and genetic divergence in vine snakes (Squamata: Colubridae: *Ahaetulla*) with the description of five new species from Peninsular India. *Zootaxa* 4874(1): 1–62. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4874.1.1>
- McCallum ML (2015) Vertebrate biodiversity losses point to a sixth mass extinction. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 24(10): 2497–2519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-015-0940-6>

- Meiri S, Bauer AM, Allison A, Castro-Herrera F, Chirio L, Colli G, Das I, Doan TM, Glaw F, Grismer LL, Hoogmoed M, Kraus F, LeBreton M, Meirte D, Ngay ZT (2017) Extinct, obscure or imaginary: The lizard species with the smallest ranges. *Diversity & Distributions* 24(2): 262–273. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12678>
- Melville J, Chapple DG, Keogh JS, Sumner J, Amey A, Bowles P, Brennan IG, Couper P, Donnellan SC, Doughty P, Edwards DL, Ellis RJ, Esquerre D, Fenker J, Gardner MG, Georges A, Haines ML, Hoskin CJ, Hutchinson M, Moritz C, Nankivell J, Oliver P, Pavon-Vazquez CJ, Pepper M, Rabosky DL, Sanders K, Shea G, Singhal S, Wilmer JW, Tingley R (2021) A return-on-investment approach for prioritization of rigorous taxonomic research needed to inform responses to the biodiversity crisis. *PLoS Biology* 19(6): e3001210. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001210>
- Miller AH, Davis HR, Luong AM, Do QH, Pham CT, Ziegler T, Lee JL, de Queiroz K, Reynolds G, Nguyen TQ (2020) Discovery of a New Species of Enigmatic Odd-Scaled Snake (Serpentes: Xenodermidae: *Achalinus*) from Ha Giang Province, Vietnam. *Copeia* 108(4): 796–808. <https://doi.org/10.1643/CH2020060>
- Murdoch ML, Grismer LL, Wood PLJ, Neang T, Poyarkov NA, Tri NV, Nazarov RA, Aowphol A, Pauwels OSG, Nguyen HN, Grismer JL (2019) Six new species of the *Cyrtodactylus intermedius* complex (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from the Cardamom Mountains and associated highlands of Southeast Asia. *Zootaxa* 4554(1): 1–62. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4554.1.1>
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, Da Fonseca GA, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403(6772): 853–858. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501>
- Neang T, Henson A, Stuart BL (2020) A new species of *Cyrtodactylus* (Squamata, Gekkonidae) from Cambodia's Prey Lang Wildlife Sanctuary. *ZooKeys* 926: 133–158. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.926.48671>
- Ngo HN, Nguyen TQ, Nguyen T, Barsch F, Ziegler T, van Schingen M (2016) First population assessment of the endemic insular Psychedelic Rock Gecko (*Cnemaspis psychedelica*) in southern Vietnam with implications for conservation. *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation* 10(2): 18–26.
- Ngo HN, Nguyen TQ, Nguyen TV, van Schingen M, Ziegler T (2018) Microhabitat selection and communal nesting in the insular Psychedelic Rock Gecko, *Cnemaspis psychedelica*, in Southern Vietnam with updated information on trade. *Nature Conservation* 31: 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.31.28145>
- Ngo HN, Nguyen TQ, Phan TQ, van Schingen M, Ziegler T (2019a) A case study on trade in threatened Tiger Geckos (*Goniurosaurus*) in Vietnam including updated information on the abundance of the Endangered *G. catbaensis*. *Nature Conservation* 33: 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.32.33590>
- Ngo HN, Le Q, Nguyen Q, Le D, van Schingen M, Ziegler T (2019b) First record of the Cat Ba tiger gecko, *Goniurosaurus catbaensis*, from Ha Long Bay, Quang Ninh Province, Vietnam: Microhabitat selection, potential distribution, and threat evaluation. *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation* 13(2): 1–13. [http://www.amphibian-reptile-conservation.org/pdfs/Volume/Vol_13_no_2/ARC_13_2_\[General_Section\]_1-13_e183.pdf](http://www.amphibian-reptile-conservation.org/pdfs/Volume/Vol_13_no_2/ARC_13_2_[General_Section]_1-13_e183.pdf)
- Ngo HT, Nguyen TT, Le MD, van Schingen-Khan M, Nguyen TQ, Rauhaus R, Vences M, Ziegler T (2020) Genetic screening of captive crocodile lizards (*Shinisaurus crocodilurus*) in Europe. *Der Zoologische Garten* 88: 17–30.
- Ngo HN, Nguyen HQ, Phan TQ, Nguyen TQ, Gewiss LR, Rödder D, Ziegler T (2022) Modeling the environmental refugia of the endangered Lichtenfelder's Tiger Gecko (*Goniurosaurus lichtenfelderi*) towards implementation of transboundary

- conservation. *Frontiers of Biogeography* 14(1): e51167. <https://doi.org/10.21425/F5FBG51167>
- Nguyen LT, Van HH, Nguyen TT, McCormack TE, Nguyen SN (2016) A collection of amphibians and reptiles from Bac Huong Hoa Nature Reserve, Quang Tri province, Vietnam. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 3rd National Scientific Workshop “Amphibians and Reptiles in Vietnam.”
- Nguyen LT, Nguyen VDH, Nguyen SN (2018a) The southernmost distribution of the Eastern Black-bridged Leaf Turtle, *Cyclemys pulchristriata* Fritz, Gaulke & Lehr, 1997 (Reptilia, Testudines, Geoemydidae), in Ba Ria-Vung Tau Province, Vietnam. *Check List* 14(6): 1007–1011. <https://doi.org/10.15560/14.6.1007>
- Nguyen LT, Do DT, Van Hoang H, Nguyen TT, McCormack TE, Nguyen TQ, Orlov NL, Nguyen VDH, Nguyen SN (2018b) A new species of the genus *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Reptilia: Agamidae) from Central Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 25(4): 259–274. <https://doi.org/10.30906/1026-2296-2018-25-4-259-274>
- Nguyen LT, Lam NQ, Carney J, Nguyen TT, Van Hoang H, McCormack TE, Nguyen S (2020) First record of Western Black-Bridged Leaf Turtle, *Cyclemys atripons* Iverson & McCord, 1997 (Testudines, Geoemydidae), in Vietnam. *Check List* 16(3): 571–577. <https://doi.org/10.15560/16.3.571>
- Nguyen NS, Nguyen TQ, Golynsky E, Milto K (2018) *Cyrtodactylus nigriocularis*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2018: e.T104695227A104718656.
- Nguyen SV, Ho CT, Nguyen TQ (2009) *Herpetofauna of Vietnam*. Ed. Chimaria.
- Nguyen SN, Nguyen VDH, Le SH, Murphy RW (2016) A new species of kukri snake (Squamata: Colubridae: *Oligodon* Fitzinger, 1826) from Con Dao Islands, southern Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4139(2): 261–273. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4139.2.9>
- Nguyen SN, Nguyen LT, Nguyen VDH, Phan HT, Jiang K, Murphy RW (2017) A new species of the genus *Oligodon* Fitzinger, 1826 (Squamata: Colubridae) from Cu Lao Cham Islands, central Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4286(3): 333–346. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4286.3.2>
- Nguyen T, Nguyen T, Pham C, Ong A, Ziegler T (2018) New records of snakes (Squamata: Serpentes) from Hoa Binh Province, northwestern Vietnam. *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* 67(1): 15–24.
- Nguyen T, Brakels P, Maury N, Sudavanh S, Pawangkhanant P, Idiatullina S, Lorphengsy S, Inkhavilay K, Suwannapoom C, Poyarkov NA (2020) New herpetofaunal observations from Laos based on photo records. *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation* 14(2): 218–249.
- Nguyen TQ, Schmitz A, Böhme W (2010) *Gekko ulikovskii* Darevsky & Orlov, 1994: A junior synonym of *Gekko badenii* Szczerbak & Nekrasova, 1994. *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* 57(1): 15–17
- Nguyen TQ, Pham AV, Ziegler T, Ngo HT, Le MD (2017) A new species of *Cyrtodactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) and the first record of *C. otai* from Son La Province, Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4341(1): 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4341.1.2>
- Nguyen TQ, Van Pham A, Van Tu H, Van Nguyen T, Ziegler T (2018) New records and an updated list of lizards from Son La Province, Vietnam. *Herpetology Notes* 11: 209–216. <https://www.biotaxa.org/hn/article/download/32165/31968>
- Nguyen TQ, Ngo NH, Nguyen VK, Bui HM, Dang HP, Nguyen TC, Hoang VT, Ziegler T (2021) Threatened biodiversity potential of Hon Khoai Island, Southern Vietnam - Habitat of the endangered, endemic Psychedelic rock gecko. *World Association of Zoos and Aquariums*. <https://www.waza.org/blog/threatened-biodiversity-potential-of-hon-khoai-island-southern-vietnam/>

- Orlov NL, Ryabov SA, Nguyen TT, Nguyen QT, Ho TC (2008) A new species of *Goniurosaurus* (Sauria: Gekkota: Eublepharidae) from north Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 15(3): 229–244.
- Orlov NL, Ermakov OA, Nguyen TT, Ananjeva NB (2021) A new record of odd-scaled snake (Serpentes, Xenodermidae) from Vietnam: expanded description of *Parafimbrios vietnamensis* based on integrative taxonomy. *Zookeys* 1048: 79. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1048.66477>
- Ostrowski S, Le MD, Ngo HT, Phung TM, Nguyen TQ, Ziegler T (2021) A new *Cyrtodactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Binh Thuan Province, southern Vietnam. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 731: 47–70. <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2021.731.1203>
- Pham VA, Nguyen QT (2019a) New Records of Snakes (Reptilia, Squamata, Serpentes) Distribution in Lai Chau Province, Vietnam. *VNU Journal of Science: Natural Sciences and Technology* 35(1): 97–103. <https://doi.org/10.25073/2588-1140/vnunst.4854>
- Pham VA, Le DT, Nguyen SLH, Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2015) New provincial records of skinks (Squamata: Scincidae) from northwestern Vietnam. *Biodiversity Data Journal* 3: e4284. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.3.e4284>
- Pham VA, Le MD, Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2019b) A new species of *Cyrtodactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from northwestern Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 4544(3): 360–380. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4544.3.3>
- Pham VA, Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2020) New records and an updated checklist of snakes from Son La Province, Vietnam. *Biodiversity Data Journal* 8: e52779. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.8.e52779>
- Pievani T (2014) The sixth mass extinction: Anthropocene and the human impact on biodiversity. *Rendiconti Lincei. Scienze Fisiche e Naturali* 25(1): 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-013-0258-9>
- Pimm SL, Russell GJ, Gittleman JL, Brooks TM (1995) The future of biodiversity. *Science* 269(5222): 347–350. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.269.5222.347>
- Poyarkov Jr NA, Nguyen TV, Vogel G (2019a) A new species of the genus *Liopeltis* Fitzinger, 1843 from Vietnam (Squamata: Colubridae). *Journal of Natural History* 53(27–28): 1647–1672. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2019.1656784>
- Poyarkov Jr NA, Van Nguyen T, Orlov NL, Vogel G (2019b) A new species of the genus *Calamaria* Boie, 1827 from the highlands of the Langbian Plateau, southern Vietnam (Squamata: Colubridae). *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 26(6): 335–348. <https://doi.org/10.30906/1026-2296-2019-26-6-335-348>
- Poyarkov Jr NA, Geissler P, Gorin VA, Dunayev EA, Hartmann T, Suwannapoom C (2019c) Counting stripes: Revision of the *Lipinia vittigera* complex (Reptilia, Squamata, Scincidae) with description of two new species from Indochina. *Zoological Research* 40(5): 358–393. <https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2019.052>
- Pyron RA, Burbrink FT, Colli GR, De Oca ANM, Vitt LJ, Kuczynski CA, Wiens JJ (2011) The phylogeny of advanced snakes (Colubroidea), with discovery of a new subfamily and comparison of support methods for likelihood trees. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 58(2): 329–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.11.006>
- Rasmussen AR, Elmgren J, Gräslund P, Ineich I (2011) Sea snakes (Serpentes: subfamilies Hydrophiinae and Laticaudinae) in Vietnam: a comprehensive checklist and an updated identification key. *Zootaxa* 2894(1): 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2894.1.1>
- Rasmussen AR, Elmgren J, Sanders KL, Gräslund P (2012) Rediscovery of the rare sea snake *Hydrophis parviceps* Smith 1935: Identification and conservation status. *Copeia* 2012(2): 276–282. <https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-11-116>

- Ren JL, Wang K, Nguyen TT, Hoang C, Zhong GH, Jiang K, Guo P, Li JT (2018) Taxonomic re-evaluation of the monotypic genus *Pararhabdophis* Bourret, 1934 (Squamata: Colubridae: Natricinae) with discovery of its type species, *P. chapaensis*, from China. *Zootaxa* 4486(1): 31–56. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4486.1.2>
- Richmond JQ, Wostl E, Reed RN, Fisher RN (2021) Range eclipse leads to tenuous survival of a rare lizard species on a barrier atoll. *Oryx* 56(1): 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605320001404>
- Rull V (2022) Biodiversity crisis or sixth mass extinction. *EMBO Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202154193>
- Seddon PJ, Moehrensclager A, Ewen J (2014a) Reintroducing resurrected species: Selecting DeExtinction candidates. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 29(3): 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.01.007>
- Seddon PJ, Griffiths CJ, Soorae PS, Armstrong DP (2014b) Reversing defaunation: Restoring species in a changing world. *Science* 345(6195): 406–412. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251818>
- Siler CD, Heitz BB, Davis DR, Freitas ES, Aowphol A, Termprayoon K, Grismer LL (2018) New supple skink, Genus *Lygosoma* (Reptilia: Squamata: Scincidae), from Indochina and redescription of *Lygosoma quadrupes*. *Journal of Herpetology* 52(3): 332–347. <https://doi.org/10.1670/16-064>
- Stanford CB, Iverson JB, Rhodin AG, van Dijk PP, Mittermeier RA, Kuchling G, Berry KH, Bertolero A, Bjorndal KA, Blanck TEG, Buhlmann KA, Burke RL, Congdon JD, Diagne T, Edwards T, Eisemberg CC, Ennen JR, Forero-Medina G, Frankel M, Fritz U, Gallego-Garcia N, Georges A, Gibbons JW, Gong S, Goode EV, Shi HT, Hoang H, Hofmeyer MD, Horne BD, Hudson R, Juvik JO, Kiester RA, Koval P, Le M, Lindemann PV, Lovich JE, Luiselli L, McCormack TEM, Meyer GA, Paez VP, Platt K, Pritchard PCH, Quinn HR, Roosenburg WM, Seminoff JA, Shaffer HB, Spencer R, van Dyke JU, Vogt RC, Walde AD (2020) Turtles and tortoises are in trouble. *Current Biology* 30(12): R721–R735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.088>
- Sterling EJ, Hurley MM, Le MD (2006) Vietnam: A Natural History. Yale University Press. <https://doi.org/10.12987/9780300128215>
- Stolton S, Dao NT, Dudley N (2004) Categorising protected areas in Vietnam. *PARKS* 14(3): 23–27. www.npshistory.com/newsletters/parks/parks-1403.pdf#page=25
- Sy D (2019) Assessment of species diversity and distribution of snakes (Serpentes) in Ba Vi National Park, Hanoi.
- Tan NV, Loi DD, Tho DTA (2019) New Records and an Updated List of Snakes (Squamata: Serpentes) from Binh Dinh Province, Central-Southern Vietnam. *Hue University Journal of Science: Natural Science* 128(1B): 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.26459/hueunijns.v128i1B.5203>
- Thao HN (2020) New distribution of *Bronchocela smaragdina* Gunther, 1864 and *Bronchocela vietnamensis* Hallermann & Orlov, 2005 in Nui Ong Nature Reserve, Vietnam. *Herpetology Notes* 13: 501–504. <https://www.biotaxa.org/hn/article/download/57233/61896>
- Tollefson J (2019) Humans are driving one million species to extinction. *Nature* 569(7755): 171–172. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01448-4>
- Tran K, Ho CT, Nguyen SV, Pham T (2007) List of Threatened Reptiles and Amphians. In: Dang NT, Tran K, Dang HH, Nguyen C, Nguyen N, Nguyen YH, Dang DT (Eds) Vietnam Red Data Book. Part I. Animals, 219–276.
- Traylor-Holzer K, Leus K, Bauman K (2019) Integrated collection assessment and planning (ICAP) workshop: Helping zoos move toward the One Plan Approach. *Zoo Biology* 38(1): 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21478>

- TTWG (2021) Turtle Taxonomy Working Group. Turtles of the World: Annotated Checklist and Atlas of Taxonomy, Synonymy, Distribution, and Conservation Status (9th Ed.). In: Rhodin AGJ, Iverson JB, van Dijk PP, Saumure RA, Buhlmann KA, Pritchard PCH, Mittermeier RA (Eds) Conservation Biology of Freshwater Turtles and Tortoises: A Compilation Project of the IUCN/SSC Tortoise and Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group. Chelonian Research Monographs 8: 1–472.
- Tung NT, Quang NH, Vinh LQ (2018) New record of bent-toed gecko (*Cyrtodactylus bo-brovi* Nguyen, Le, Pham, Ngo, Hoang, Pham & Ziegler, 2015) from Cuc Phuong National Park. Journal of Forestry Science and Technology 2: 157–161.
- Uetz P, Freed P, Hošek J (2022) The Reptile Database. <http://www.reptile-database.org> [Accessed on 13.03.2022]
- Van HP, Ke QT (2014) The Web based tool for identification of amphibian and reptiles presented in three western provinces of South Eastern region, Vietnam. Nauchnii rezultat. Seriya "Fiziologiya" 2: 21–32. [Научный результат. Серия "Физиология" 2: 21–32.] <https://scholar.archive.org/work/ezvppjyuc5ekdapbtI7rdpmo44/access/wayback/https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/the-web-based-tool-for-identification-of-amphibian-and-rep-tiles-presented-in-three-western-provinces-of-south-eastern-region-vietnam.pdf>
- Van Nguyen T, Duong LD, Duong TTA (2019) New records and updated list of snakes (Squamata: Serpentes) from Binh Dinh province, central-southern Vietnam. Hue University Journal of Science. Nature and Science 128(1B): 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.26459/hueuni-jns.v128i1B.5203>
- Van Schingen M, Schepp U, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ, Ziegler T (2015) Last chance to see? A review of the threats to and use of the crocodile lizard. Traffic Bulletin 27: 19–26.
- van Schingen-Khan M, Barthel LMF, Pham DTK, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ, Ziegler T, Bonkowski M (2022) Will climatic changes affect the Vietnamese crocodile lizard? Seasonal variation in microclimate and activity pattern of *Shinisaurus crocodilurus vietnamensis*. Amphibia-Reptilia 43(2): 155–167. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-bja10089>
- VEA (2020) Vietnam Environment Administration. [http://vea.gov.vn/detail?\\$id=56](http://vea.gov.vn/detail?$id=56). [Accessed on 13.03.2022]
- Wahle A, Rödder D, Chapple DG, Meiri S, Rauhaus A, Ziegler T (2021) Skinks in Zoos: A global approach on distribution patterns of threatened Scincidae in zoological institutions. Global Ecology and Conservation 30: e01800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01800>
- Wang Y, Jianhuan Y, Yang LIU (2013) New Distribution Records for *Sphenomorphus tonkinensis* (Lacertilia: Scincidae) with Notes on Its Variation and Diagnostic Characters. Asian Herpetological Research 4(2): 147–150. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1245.2013.00147>
- Wang K, Jiang K, Wang YF, Poyarkov Jr NA, Che J, Siler CD (2018) Discovery of *Japalura chapaensis* Bourret, 1937 (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae) from Southeast Yunnan Province, China. Zoological Research 39(2): 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2017.064>
- Wang K, Yu ZB, Vogel G, Che J (2021) Contribution to the taxonomy of the genus *Lycodon* H. Boie in Fitzinger, 1827 (Reptilia: Squamata: Colubridae) in China, with description of two new species and resurrection and elevation of *Dinodon septentrionale chapaense* Angel, Bourret, 1933. Zoological Research 42(1): 62–86. <https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2020.286>
- Weaver W, Shannon CE (1949) The mathematical theory of communication. University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.

- Ziegler T (2015a) *In situ* and *ex situ* reptile projects of the Cologne Zoo: Implications for research and conservation of South East Asia's herpetodiversity. *International Zoo Yearbook* 49(1): 8–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/izy.12084>
- Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2015b) Review of the genus *Sinonatrix* in Vietnam with a new country record of *Sinonatrix yunnanensis* Rao et Yang, 1998. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 22(2): 84–88.
- Ziegler T, Nguyen TQ (2019a) Herpetological research and conservation in Vietnam and Laos in compliance with the one plan approach. Paper presented at the Proc. 4th Nat Sci Conf Amph Rept Vietnam.
- Ziegler T, Rauhaus A (2022) Vietnamese Tiger Gecko Research and Conservation Breeding Projects at the Terrarium Section of Cologne Zoo, Germany. *Ratel* 48(3): 5–10.
- Ziegler T, Tran DTA, Nguyen TQ, Perl RGB, Wirk L, Kulisch M, Lehmann T, Rauhaus A, Nguyen TT, Le QK, Vu TN (2014) New amphibian and reptile records from Ha Giang Province, northern Vietnam. *Herpetology Notes* 7: 185–201.
- Ziegler T, Rauhaus A, Mutschmann F, Huy PD, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ (2015c) Building up of keeping facilities and breeding projects for frogs, newts and lizards at the Me Linh Station for Biodiversity in northern Vietnam, including improvement of housing conditions for confiscated reptiles and primates. *Der Zoologische Garten* 85(3/4): 91–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zoolgart.2015.09.001>
- Ziegler T, Rauhaus A, Nguyen T, Nguyen K (2015d) Südlichster Nachweis von *Gekko badenii* Szczerbak & Nekrasova, 1994. *Sauria* 37: 3–14. http://wildlifeatrisk.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/technical_report.Hon-Me-.pdf
- Ziegler T, Rauhaus A, Nguyen K, Nguyen T (2016) Building of a Conservation Breeding Facility for the Psychedelic Rock Gecko (*Cnemaspis psychedelica*) in Southern Vietnam. *Der Zoologische Garten* 85(5): 224–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zoolgart.2016.05.002>
- Ziegler T, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ, Wang J, Wang YY, Stuart BL (2019b) A new species of *Opisthotropis* from northern Vietnam previously misidentified as the Yellow-spotted Mountain Stream Keelback *O. maculosa* Stuart & Chuaynkern, 2007 (Squamata: Natricidae). *Zootaxa* 4613(3): 579–586. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4613.3.9>
- Ziegler T, Van Schingen M, Rauhaus A, Dang PH, Pham DTK, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ (2019c) New insights into the habitat use and husbandry of crocodile lizards (Reptilia: Shinisauridae) including the conception of new facilities for Vietnamese crocodile lizards *Shinisaurus crocodilurus vietnamensis* in Vietnam and Germany. *International Zoo Yearbook* 53(1): 250–269. <https://doi.org/10.1111/izy.12215>
- Ziegler T, Nguyen TT, Ong AV, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ (2020a) In search of the Spotted Soft-shell Turtle in Vietnam: An implementation of the One Plan Approach. *WAZA News* 2020(1): 24–27.
- Ziegler T, Luu VQ, Nguyen TT, Van Ha N, Ngo HT, Le MD, Nguyen TQ (2020b) Rediscovery of Andrea's keelback, *Hebius andreae* (Ziegler & Le, 2006): First country record for Laos and phylogenetic placement. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* 126(1): 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2619520>
- Ziegler T, Tran VA, Babb RD, Jones TR, Moler PE, Van Devender RW, Nguyen TQ (2020c) A new species of reed snake, *Calamaria* Boie, 1827 from the Central Highlands of Vietnam (Squamata: Colubridae). *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* 126(1): 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2619512>
- Ziegler T, Ziegler TN, Peusquens J, David P, Vu TN, Pham CT, Nguyen TQ, Le MD (2020d) Expanded morphological definition and molecular phylogenetic position of the Tam Dao mountain stream keelback *Opisthotropis tamdaoensis* (Squamata: Natricidae)

from Vietnam. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* 124(2): 377–389. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.893551>

ZIMS (2022) Species360 Zoological Information Management System (ZIMS). <https://zims.species360.org> [Accessed on 13.03.2022]

Zootierliste (2022) Electronic Database. <https://zootierliste.de/?org=7> [Accessed on 13.03.2022]

Supplementary material 1

Species list, distribution, endemism, status, threats

Authors: Lilli Stenger, Anke Große Hovest, Truong Quang Nguyen, Cuong The Pham, Anna Rauhaus, Minh Duc Le, Dennis Rödder, Thomas Ziegler

Data type: docx

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.53.106923.suppl1>